Conference for Food Protection 2006-2008 Executive Board Meeting Committee Update

Council II - Certification of Food Safety Regulation Professionals (CFSRP)

Work Group Report

Date of Committee Report: July 20, 2007

Submitted By: Dr. David McSwane, Indiana University

John Marcello, Food and Drug Administration

Work Group Co-Chairs

Committee Charge(s):

- Administer and coordinate the CFP Assessment of Training Needs (ATN)Pilot Project;
- Analyze the results from the CFP ATN Pilot Project and provide a report to the 2008 Conference that summarizes the projects results;
- Address issues identified in the CFP CFSRP work group report to the 2006 Conference; and
- Work with FDA to determine what impact reducing the minimum number of standardization inspections in Standard 2 (Voluntary National Retail Food Regulatory Program Standards), from 8 to 4 has on the scoring procedure. FDA is to assist the work group in determining whether the scoring system needs to be modified to accommodate a procedure that is based on 4 minimum inspections.

Committee Members:

CFP CFSRP Membership List is contained at the end of this report as Attachment A

Progress Report/Committee Activities: CFP Assessment of Training Needs Pilot Project - Action Plan/Time Line/Status

The key pilot project dates that participating jurisdictions need to keep track of are noted in **BOLD** in the table below.

Completion Date	Pilot Project Action Item/Initiative							
April, 2006	Solicitation of jurisdictions for Pilot Project at the CFP meeting and distribution of Fact Sheet to prospective Pilot Project participants.	Completed						
May, 2006	Gap analysis / solicitation of jurisdictions with emphasis on selection of demographically representative jurisdictions.	Completed						
May 31, 2006	Final date for receipt of applications from jurisdictions interested in participating in the pilot project.	Completed						
June, 2006	Selection of jurisdictions to participate in the pilot project. Jurisdictions notified of their selection to participate.	Completed						
June, 2006	Send out Pilot Project packages to selected jurisdictions	Completed						

Completion Date	Pilot Project Action Item/Initiative									
July, 2006	Conference calls with selected jurisdictions (Participants in the Pilot Project will be provided an overview of Pilot Project objectives, goals, methodology, data collection, etc.)	Completed								
January, 2007	Interim conference call with jurisdictions (Overview of progress; solicitation of questions, reminder of deadlines etc.)									
June, 2007	Conference call with selected jurisdictions (Preparing the final pilot project feedback report; solicitation of questions; clarification of expectation, etc.)									
July, 2007	Completion of field component of Pilot Project and collection of completed pilot project feedback reports from jurisdictions. Jurisdiction's pilot project feedback reports are due no later than July 31, 2007									
Aug. – Nov., 2007	CFP Workgroup review, analysis, summary and development of Pilot Project results into Conference report and issues.									
Sept 14-16, 2007	CFP CFSRP face-to-face meeting to analyze results from the pilot study and coordinate an action plan and time line for developing recommendations pertaining to the Assessment of Training Needs process and submitting a report to the 2008 Conference									
October, 2007	Conduct a focus group with 6-8 randomly selected jurisdictions from the pilot project to review Project outcomes and conclusions drafted by the CFP work group.									

Significant Pilot Project Outcomes To Date

- 32 State/Local/Tribal jurisdictions enrolled to date Attachment B contains a matrix that summarizes the demographic characteristics of the participating jurisdictions.
- During mid-term conference calls, jurisdictions reported that they had used the
 Assessment of Training Needs process and forms to train a total of 99 Food Safety
 Inspection Officers (FSIOs). These same jurisdictions anticipate that a total of 50 more
 FSIOs will be put through the ATN process over the remaining months of the pilot project.
- The CFP CFSRP will use the SPSS statistical package to facilitate data entry and analysis and the production of summary reports for information received from the Pilot Project Jurisdiction Feedback Form. Work Group members are currently testing the data entry and report producing capabilities of the software program.
- Initial anecdotal feedback from jurisdictions participating in the ATN pilot project is very
 positive. Input from the pilot jurisdictions indicates the process provides a structured
 approach for effectively preparing new hires and staff newly hired to the regulatory retail
 food program to conduct independent foodservice and retail food store inspections.
- Conference calls completed in June to review completion of the pilot project Jurisdiction Feedback Forms that will be used to assimilate and analyze the data. Five of the original 32 jurisdictions were unable to train any FSIOs during the ATN Pilot Project. These five, highlighted with yellow background in Attachment B, either experience hiring freezes or transitions at key management levels that would have had oversight responsibility for overseeing the implementation of the ATN process.
- The remaining 25+ jurisdiction who were able to train FSIOs using the ATN protocol and forms more than exceed the minimum number of 20 the work group sought to attain at the beginning of the pilot project.
- The work group has received completed pilot project feedback forms from 9 of the participating jurisdictions. Participating jurisdictions have until July 31, 2007 to submit their feedback forms.

CFP CFSRP Work Group Issues Potentially Impacting Standard 2

A total of four issues, either identified in the CFP CFSRP Work Group 2006 Conference Report or submitted as charges by the Conference, are currently being addressed by the work group. These issues pertain to:

- Determining whether there is a need to reassess the written examination options listed in Step 1 of Program Standard #2:
- 2. Reassessing whether the Application Courses identified in Appendix B-1 of Program Standard No. 2 should be listed as required rather than recommended courses;
- 3. Clarifying the qualifications needed by individuals who are responsible for conducting an Assessment of Training Needs; and
- 4. Determining what impact lowering the minimum number of standardization inspections from 8 to 4 has on FDA's process for scoring a candidate's performance.

The Co-Chairs have provided a format for the work group to review the pertinent information and develop recommended actions for each of these issues. A backgrounder for each issue is developed that contains:

- Statement of the Issue
- References to use in considering the Issue
- Background on the Issue
- Current Environment
- Work Group Member Expected Action

These are distributed to work group members approximately three weeks prior to the conference call on which they will be discussed. Work Group members have an opportunity to submit recommendations to the Co-Chairs for work group consideration.

Progress to Date:

- Issue #1 Work Group has reached consensus that the language in Step 1 of Standard 2, pertaining to written examination options, should remain as is;
- Issue #2 The work group has tabled the issue pertaining to the Application Courses in Appendix B-1 of Standard 2 until information from the Assessment of Training Needs Pilot Project can be reviewed and discussed. The work group will address this Issue at its face-to-face meeting in September.
- Issue #3 Backgrounder has been prepared on 'who can conduct an Assessment of Training Needs'. The work group reached consensus that the language in the Instruction Guide for Conducting an Assessment of Training Needs must be revised to clarify that an ATN can be conducted by individuals who have completed all the Program Standards 2 training elements (Steps 1 through 3) but have not been standardized.
- Issue #4 Backgrounder has been prepared on 'what impact reducing the minimum of standardization inspections from 8 to 4 has on the FDA scoring system for candidate performance. This issue will be the focus of discussion work group's 7-31-07 conference call

NEW WORK GROUP CHARGE FROM CFP EXECUTIVE BOARD:

The work group have begun deliberation on the its new charge from the CFP Executive Board pertaining to assessing a Bachelor's degree requirement as part of the criteria for Program Standard #2 – Trained Regulatory Staff.

- On May 30' a work group conference call was conducted which specifically focused on this issue. FDA provided a summary of the considerations/reasons behind the agency's decision not to accept the 2006 Conference recommendation to incorporate a Bachelor's degree requirement into the Standard 2 criteria.
- The work group formed a sub-group to develop options/alternatives for Conference consideration that would take into account the CFP Voting Delegates decision to Incorporate a BA requirement while at the same time balancing FDA's concerns. Recommendations are to be developed prior to the work group's September face-to-face meeting in Chicago. This issue will be a primary agenda item for the work group's September meeting.

CERTIFICATION OF FOOD SAFETY REGULATION PROFESSIONALS WORK GROUP

(Part of the Conference for Food Protection (CFP)
Program Standards Committee)

David McSwane, Co-Chair Professor School of Public & Environmental Affairs Indiana University 801 W. Michigan Street Indianapolis, IN 4602 (317) 274-2918

FAX: (317) 274-7860 dmcswane@iupui.edu John Marcello, Co-Chair Pacific Region Retail Food Specialist U.S. Food & Drug Administration FDA Phoenix Resident Post 51 W. 3rd Street, Suite 265 Tempe, AZ 85281 (480) 829-7396 ext. 35 FAX: (480) 829-7677

john.marcello@fda.hhs.gov

STATE REGULATORY

1. Lee M. Cornman

Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
Division of Food Safety
312 Conner Boulevard (C-18)
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1650
(850) 488-8434
FAX: (850) 488-7946

FAX: (850) 488-7946 cornmal@doacs.state.fl.us

2. Ruth Hendy

State Standardization Officer, Food Establishments PSQA Unit Texas Department State Health Services Group 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756 (512) 834-6753 FAX: (512) 834-6683

Ruth.Hendy@dshs.state.tx.us

Ronald S. Klein

Program Manager, Food Safety and Sanitation Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 269-7583

FAX: (907) 269-7654 ron_klein@dec.state.ak.us

4. Ellen Laymon

Oregon Department of Agriculture/Food Safety Division 635 Capital Street. NE Salem, OR 97301 (503) 986-4720 FAX: (503) 986-4729 elaymon@oda.state.or.us

- -

5. David Read

Dairy and Food Inspection Division Minnesota Department of Agriculture 625 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55155-2538 651-201-6596 FAX 651-201-6116 David.read@state.mn.us

6. Susan Kendrick

Education Specialist
Oregon Department of Agriculture, Food Safety Division
635 Capitol Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-2532
(503) 533-0835

FAX: (503) 986-4729. skendric@oda.state.or.us

LOCAL REGULATORY

7. Vicki Everly, REHS

Supervising Environmental Health Specialist
County of Santa Clara, Department of Environmental Health
Consumer Protection Division
1555 Berger Drive, Suite 300
San Jose CA 95112-2716
(408) 918-3490
FAX: (408) 258-5891

vicki.everly@deh.co.scl.ca.us

7. Linda Newton

Southern Nevada Health District 625 Shadow Lane P.O. Box 3902 Las Vegas, NV 89127 (702) 759-0593

FAX: (702) 383-1445 newtonl@snhdmail.org

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Jim Fear
 Manager State Training
 Office of Regulatory Affairs, DHRD
 U.S. Food and Drug Administration
 11919 Rockville Pike, HFC-60

Rockville, MD 20852 (301) 827-8725

FAX: (301)827-8708 James.fear@fda.hhs.gov

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

9. Heidi J. Shaw
National Environmental Health Association (NEHA)
720 S. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 1000-N
Denver, CO 80246
(303) 756-9090 ext. 339
(303) 691-9490
hshaw@neha.org

INDUSTRY - FOODSERVICE (RESTAURANT)

10. Matt Stangley
National Restaurant Association
Educational Foundation
175 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1500
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 261-5319

FAX: 312-5669729 mstangley@nraef.org

11. Nancy Nesel Yum! Brands, Inc 1900 Colonel Sanders Lane Louisville, KY 40228 (502) 874-8493

FAX: (502) 874-3523 Nancy.nesel@yum.com

INDUSTRY - RETAIL FOOD STORE

 Tom Dominick, R.S.
 Vice President of Food Safety and Sanitation Bashas', Inc.
 2626 S. 7th St.
 Phoenix, AZ 85034
 (602) 594-1356

FAX: (602) 594-1205

tdominick@bashas.com

13. Stephanie Mohn

Food Safety and Sanitation Specialist Marsh Supermarkets 9800 Crosspoint Blvd. Indianapolis, IN 46256 (317) 594-2693 (317) 594-2703 samohn@sbcglobal.net

14. Michael Roberson

Publix Super Markets, Inc. P.O. Box 32024 Lakeland, FL 33802 (863) 688-1188, Ext. 32422 FAX (863) 499-5402 michael.roberson@publix.com

CONSUMER ORGANIZATIONS AND INDEPENDENTS

Dorothy Horber, Ph.D.
 Thomson Prometric (formerly Experior Assessments)
 2000 Lenox Drive
 Lawrenceville, NJ 08648
 (609) 895-5126
 dorothy.horber@thomson.com

16. Stan Hazan, Senior Director Regulatory Affairs NSF International 789 Dixboro Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105 (734) 769-5105 FAX: (734) 827-7133 hazan@nsf.org

17. Dr. Cynthia D. Woodley
Psychometrician
Vice President, Operations
Professional Testing Inc.
7680 Universal Blvd, Suite 300
Orlando, FL 32809
(407) 264-2993
(407) 264-2855
cdwoodley@proftesting.com

WORK GROUP CONSULTANTS

April Shaw
U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FDA Southwest Region – Retail Food Specialist
FDA Southwest Regional Office
4040 N. Central Expressway, Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75204
(214) 253-4948 (office)
(214-564-9242 (cell)
FAX: (214) 253-4960

CFP ASSESSMENT OF TRAINING NEEDS PILOT PROJECT DEMOGRAPHICS OF JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE SUBMITTED APPLICATIONS

	REGION						JURISDICTION TYPE								NUMBER OF FOOD PERMITS					
			K	EGIO	1.4			l	JUNIS	ו אוע		L 115	1	<500	500	1001	2001	5001	Over	
#	Jurisdiction	NE	SE	CE	sw	PA	Fed.	State	County	City	District	Tribal	Other	<500	to 1000	to 2000	to 5000	To 10,000	10,000	
1	West Hartfield-Bloomfield H.D., CT	X									X			X						
2	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, MA	X						X					coalition							
3	Rhode Island Department of Health, RI	X						X										X		
4	Florida Department of Agriculture, FL		X					X											X	
5	City of Columbus Health Department, OH			X						X										
6	DuPage County Health Department, IL			X					X	7.1							X			
7	Howard County Health Department, IN			X					X					X			21			
8	Indiana State Department of Health, IN			X				X	21					X						
9	Minnesota Department of Agriculture, MN			X				X						21				X		
10	Minnesota Department of Health, MN			X				X										X		
11	Olmsted County Health Department, MN			X				Α	X					X				Λ		
12	Fairfax County Health Department., VA			X					X					21			X			
12				21					21								71			
13	Kansas Dept. of Health & Environment, KS				X			X											X	
14	Oklahoma State Department of Health, OK				X			X											X	
15	Tulsa Health Department, OK				X					X							X			
16	Lubbock Health Department, TX				X					X						X				
17	Texas Dept. of State Health Services, TX				X			X											X	
18	Wyoming Department of Agriculture, WY				X			X									X			
19	Municipality of Anchorage, AK					X				X					X					
20	State of Alaska, Dept of Cons., AK					X		X		21					21		X			
21	Gila River Indian Community, AZ					X		71				X		X			71			
22	Maricopa County Environmental H.S., AZ					X			X			71		21					X	
23	Pima County Health Department, AZ					X			X						 	—	X		21	
24	County of Santa Clara, CA					X			X						 	†	- 11	X		
25	Yellowstone City-County H.D., MT					X			X						X			- 11		
26	Southern Nevada Health District, NV					X			71		X				- 11	—			X	
27	Multnomah County Health Dept., OR					X			X		71						X		21	
28	Oregon Department of Agriculture, OR					X		X	21									X		
29	Clark County Health Department, WA					X		2.5	X						1	X		- 11		
30	Seattle and King County Public Health, WA					X			X						1			X		
31	Tacoma-Pierce Co. Health Dept, WA					X			X						 	—	X	- 11		
32	Whatcom County Health Dept., WA					X			X						 	X				
32																				
	TOTAL	3	1	8	6	14	0	12	13	4	2	1	0	5	2	3	8	6	6	