



FDA Criticality Work Group Report  
Conference for Food Protection  
Columbus, Ohio  
April 7-12, 2006

Shirley B. Bohm  
Chair, FDA Criticality Work Group  
U. S. Food and Drug Administration  
Retail Food Protection Program





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Background

- Efforts to reclassify Food Code provisions on-going since 2000
- Charge to FDA in 2004-I-011
  - Remove “critical item” & replace with more appropriate term/terms
  - Redesignate Food Code provisions in terms of risk factors that contribute to foodborne illness
  - Seek review & comment from CFP on draft proposal of these charges





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Reclassification System

- Complex systems must be considered
  - Food preparation
  - Food Code
- Contribution to FBI must be considered
  - Direct or indirect
  - Measurable (critical limits)
- Consistency with other documents and science
  - HACCP, HACCP Guides, CDC's FBI Reporting Form
  - Epidemiological investigations & research





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Qualitative Risk Assessment\*
  - Helps organize very complex concepts
  - Enhances the scientific basis for decisions
  - Can be done as a risk ranking
  - Characteristics of a risk assessment
    - Transparency
    - Well documented
    - Credibility & defensibility
    - Based on good science
    - Peer reviewed with stakeholder input





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Definition #1

- “**Priority Item** means an item in the Food Code whose application contributes to the elimination, prevention or reduction to an acceptable level, hazards associated with foodborne illness or injury.”
  - Is there a measurable critical limit?
  - Do other provisions more directly control the hazard?
  - Examples include cooking, cooling, handwashing





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Definition #2

- “**Priority Foundation Item** means an item whose application supports, facilitates or enables the active managerial control of one or more priority items.”
  - Examples include hand sink, documentation to execute a priority item (records), labeling





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Definition #3

- “**Core Item** means all provisions that are not Priority Items or Priority Foundation Items such as but not limited to general sanitation, operational controls, facilities and structures, equipment design, etc.”





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Decision making process (Excel Risk Assessment Spreadsheet)
  - Identify the general and specific hazard associated with provision
  - Make a preliminary classification (P, PF, C)
  - Assess the characteristics of the hazard
  - Assess the size &/or numbers of outbreaks
  - Identify contributing factors (C, P, S)
  - Revise classification if necessary
  - Provide rationale, additional comments & references for decision making







# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Example #1 of Risk Assessment Process

Provision: 3-501.14(A)(1-2) Cooling

Hazard – General: Spore-forming bacteria

Hazard – Specific: *Clostridium perfringens*

Initial Assessment: Priority Item (see def. – prevents germination of spores, critical limits are provided)

Characteristics of Hazard: (H, M or L)

- shed at high levels
- Spores survive cooking
- Germinate & multiply during improper cooling
- Sporulation in gut releases toxin





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Example #1 Risk Assessment Process – Cont'd
  - Size &/or Number of Outbreaks: (H, M, L) Large outbreaks – often associated with preparation and cooling of large volumes of food
  - Contributing Factors:
    - P2 – Slow cooling,
    - P4 – Preparing food 1/2 day or more before serving
    - M2 – Solid masses of PHF
    - M6 – Roasted meat, poultry
    - M8 – Liquid/semi-liquid mixtures of PHF
  - Final Category: Priority Item
  - Rationale: Meets the risk assessment criteria for Priority Item





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Example #1 Risk Assessment Process – Cont'd  
Additional Comments: Measurable critical limits included (2 hrs. from 135°F to 70°F and to 41°F in total of 6 hrs.)
- References: #4, #15, #18





# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Example #2 Risk Assessment Process

Provisions: Date Marking

3-501.17(A)

3-501.17(A)(1-2)

3-501.17(A)(2)(a)

Hazard – General: Vegetative bacteria

Hazard – Specific: *Listeria monocytogenes*

Initial Assessment:

3-501.17(A) – Priority Foundation – DM system

3-501.17(A)(1-2) – Priority – measurable CLs

3-501.17(A)(2)(a) – Core – operational conditions:  
equipment in place and in use





# FDA Criticality work Group Report

## □ Example #2 Risk Assessment Process Cont'd

### Characteristics of Hazard: (H, M, L)

- Low infectious dose
- Multiplies at refrigeration temperatures
- Targets immunocompromised
- High case fatality rate

### Size &/or Number of Outbreaks: (H, M, L)

- Few outbreaks
- Mostly sporadic cases





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

□ Example #2 Risk Assessment Process Cont'd

Contributing Factors:

P3 – Inadequate cold holding temperatures

P5 – Prolonged cold storage for several weeks

M11 – Commercially processed foods

M12 – Sandwiches

M 14 – Salads with raw ingredients

Final Category:

3-501.17(A) – Priority Foundation

3-501.17(A)(1-2) – Priority

3-501.17(A)(2)(a) – Core

Rationale: Meets the criteria for definitions





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Example #2 Risk Assessment Process Cont'd

### Additional Comments:

3-501.17(A) – Specific criteria to establish DM system (foundation to enable DM)

3-501.17(A)(1-2) – Measurable critical limit (7 days @ 41°F or 4 days @ 45°F) to determine disposition based on anticipated Lm growth

3-501.17(A)(2)(a) – Equipment is available and in use

References: #4, #15, #18





---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

- Completion of the Charges to FDA
  - New definitions
  - Risk assessment process
  - Initial comments from (CFP DM Committee)
  - 1<sup>st</sup> review of all Food Code provisions
  - Validation by CFSAN and other scientists
  - Full review and open comment – stakeholders
  - Incorporate necessary changes from comments
  - Develop final report, finalize Excel document
  - Submit issue to 2008 CFP







---

# FDA Criticality Work Group Report

## □ Expected Outcomes

- More consistent, uniform and defensible re-designation of criticality in Food Code
- Documented decision making process, open to examination
- Recommendations for potential uses of designations
  - Focus training
  - Focus inspections and active managerial control
  - Guide regulatory enforcement for immediacy of correction

