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Committee Charge:
The Conference recommends that based on discussion and deliberation,

(A) FDA revise the definition of “Potentially Hazardous Food” in subparagraph 1-201.10 (B)(65) of the 2001 Food Code in the following way:

1-201.10 (B)(65) Potentially Hazardous Food.

(a) “Potentially hazardous food” means a FOOD that requires time and/or temperature control for safety (TCS) to limit pathogen growth or toxin formation.”

(b) "Potentially hazardous food" includes:

(i) An animal FOOD (a FOOD of animal origin), including fresh shell EGGS, that is raw or heat-treated; a FOOD of plant origin that is heat-treated or consists of raw seed sprouts; cut melons; and garlic-in-oil mixtures that are not modified in a way that results in mixtures that do not support growth as specified under Subparagraph (a) of this definition.

(ii) A FOOD whose pH/aW interaction is designated as TCS in one of the tables listed in paragraph (d).

(c) "Potentially hazardous food" does not include:

(i) An air-cooled hard-boiled EGG with shell intact, or a shell EGG that is not hard-boiled, but has been treated to destroy all viable Salmonellae;

(ii) A FOOD whose pH/aW interaction is designated as non-TCS in one of the tables listed in paragraph (d);

(iii) A FOOD, in an unopened HERMETICALLY SEALED CONTAINER, that is commercially processed to achieve and maintain commercial sterility under conditions of non-refrigerated storage and distribution;

(iv) A FOOD for which laboratory evidence demonstrates that time and temperature control for safety is not required, and that may contain a preservative, other barrier to the growth of microorganisms, or a combination of barriers that inhibit the growth of microorganisms; or

(v) A FOOD that does not support the growth of microorganisms as specified under Subparagraph (a) of this definition even though the FOOD may contain an infectious or toxigenic microorganism or chemical or physical contaminant at a level sufficient to cause illness.

(d) “Potentially hazardous food” does not include food that, because of pH, water activity (aw) or the interaction of pH and aW is considered non-PHF/non-TCS in Table A or B below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical aw values</th>
<th>Critical pH values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.6 or less</td>
<td>&gt; 4.6 – 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.92 or less</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.92 - .95</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical $a_w$ values</td>
<td>Critical pH values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.88</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.88 – 0.90</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.90 – 0.92</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.92</td>
<td>non-PHF/non-TCS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PA = Product Assessment Required

(B) And that a PHF Committee be named to work with the FDA on this issue, charged to consider the best implementation strategy for state/local regulations and the food service/food store industry to determine whether a food is PHF or not, based on the IFT Report, to determine whether the term PHF or TCS is preferred and to report back to the Conference for Food Protection Executive Board by the 2004 Fall Board Meeting.
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Progress Report/Committee Activities:

The 2004-2006 CFP TCS Food Implementation Committee has kept to the monthly conference call schedule since the 1st call on July 22, 2004. The committee has successfully completed part of the committee charge in the development of a document to be included in Annex 3 of the 2005 FDA Model Food Code. The document is a decision tree to determine if a food is TCS - temperature control for safety. The document was submitted to the FDA on December 1, 2004 to meet their writing committee deadline. This document was accepted by the FDA and will be in the 2005 FDA Model Food Code.

The committee is now at work on an expanded and more detailed guide for using the new TCS food definition which will include examples of how to determine if a food is TCS.

The committee also received communication from the FDA that the 2005 FDA Model Food Code will use the terminology of "potentially hazardous (temperature controlled for safety (TCS) food". Wherever the term potentially hazardous food (PHF) is used, both terms will be used together. This is to provide the reader a transition time / adjustment time to the new term of TCS after years of having used PHF.