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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Issue Title</th>
<th>As Submitted</th>
<th>As Amended</th>
<th>No Action</th>
<th>Assembly of Delegates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III-001</td>
<td>Report - Listeria Retail Guidelines (LRG) Committee</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-002</td>
<td>LRG 2 - Approval of Listeria Retail Guidance Document</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-003</td>
<td>Report - Hand Hygiene Committee (HHC)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-004</td>
<td>HHC 2 - Definition for “Hand Cleaning Compound”</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-005</td>
<td>HHC 3 - Definition for “Antiseptic Hand Rub”</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-006</td>
<td>HHC 4 - Recommendations to FDA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-007</td>
<td>Re-create Hand Hygiene Committee to review &quot;When to Wash&quot; (2-301.14)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-008</td>
<td>Allowing Specified Use of Hand Antiseptic in Place of Handwashing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-009</td>
<td>Updating the Handwashing Procedure to Reflect Liquid/Foam Soaps</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-010</td>
<td>Hand Cleanse-Sanitize Protocol Not Requiring Running Water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-011</td>
<td>Reduce risk of cross-contamination by hands.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-012</td>
<td>Food Service Employees Not Meeting Hand Hygiene Timing Compliance Code</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-013</td>
<td>Motion-Activated Handwashing Sinks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-014</td>
<td>Bandage, Finger Cot, and Stall contamination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-015</td>
<td>Require disposable gloves at foodservice handwash sinks</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-016</td>
<td>Employee Health Interventions – Reducing Norovirus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-017</td>
<td>Amend Food Code – Clarify Clean-up of Vomiting and Diarrheal Events</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-018</td>
<td>Sore Throat with Fever</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-019</td>
<td>Plant Food Cooking Time Frame</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-020</td>
<td>Plant Food Cooking for Hot Holding</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-021</td>
<td>Cooking by food temperature</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-022</td>
<td>Slow Continuous Cooking of Raw Animal Foods</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-023</td>
<td>Reheating Commercially Processed TCS Foods in a Microwave for Hot Holding</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-024</td>
<td>Separation of Packaged Products Displayed at Retail</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-025</td>
<td>Separating Raw Animal Food from Unwashed Fruits and Vegetables</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-026</td>
<td>Chemical treatment of water used to wash or crisp raw fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-027</td>
<td>Chemicals Used for Washing and Treating Fruits and Vegetables</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-028</td>
<td>Ambient Cooling Pre-chilled TCS Foods</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-029</td>
<td>Acidified Food Date Marking Exemption</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-030</td>
<td>Amend Food Code – Clarify sprouting as a specialized process</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-031</td>
<td>Amend Food Code – Include Definition for Curing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-032</td>
<td>Amend Food Code Annex – Clarifying ROP of fish requirements</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-033</td>
<td>Fish Advisory Committee</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-034</td>
<td>Reducing the need for HACCP Plans Under 3-502.12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-035</td>
<td>Revise Food Code to be Consistent with FSIS Requirements and Guidance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-036</td>
<td>“Intended Use” for Raw Beef Source Materials</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-037</td>
<td>Creation of a Mail-Order Food Safety Committee</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Issue Title</td>
<td>As Submitted</td>
<td>As Amended</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>Assembly of Delegates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-038</td>
<td>Use of pre-formulated sanitizing solutions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-039</td>
<td>Surface Cleaning of Utensils and Equipment in Contact with Non-TCS Foods</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-040</td>
<td>Add a definition for ATP</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-041</td>
<td>Biofilm definition</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-016</td>
<td>Food Establishments With Robotic Operations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-027</td>
<td>Protecting Unwashed Produce From Cross Contamination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Title:

Report - Listeria Retail Guidelines (LRG) Committee

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

1. Acknowledgment of the 2014-2016 Listeria Retail Guidelines Committee report,
3. That the Committee be disbanded.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
LRG 2 - Approval of Listeria Retail Guidance Document

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:


2. That a letter be sent to the FDA encouraging them to amend the 2013 Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting Documents) by adding a reference to the 2016 revision of the Conference approved voluntary guidelines.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-003

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted  x _____ Amended _____ No Action _____

Delegate Action: Accepted _____ Rejected _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Report - Hand Hygiene Committee (HHC)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

2. Thanking the committee for the effort of the members put forth in working on the charges.
3. Disbanding the Hand Hygiene Committee.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Title:
HHC 2 - Definition for “Hand Cleaning Compound”

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
no action because the additional definition adds no value to the Food Code.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
HHC 3 - Definition for “Antiseptic Hand Rub”

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends…:

no action as this Issue was beyond the scope of the charge of the committee.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-006

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted x Amended No Action
Delegate Action: Accepted Rejected

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
HHC 4 - Recommendations to FDA

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends:

that a letter be sent to the FDA:

1. Encouraging the development of performance standards that will allow evaluation of equivalent alternate procedures for soil removal from hands of food handlers.
2. Encouraging CFSAN (Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition) to work in conjunction with CDER (Center for Drug Evaluation and Research) to define antiseptic criteria for food handler use.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Re-create Hand Hygiene Committee to review "When to Wash" (2-301.14)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action as the issue is adequately addressed in the 2013 FDA Food Code, Section 2-301.14.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>Accepted as No Action</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Allowing Specified Use of Hand Antiseptic in Place of Handwashing

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. The submitter withdrew the issue.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection  
2016 Issue Form  

Issue: 2016 III-009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th></th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th></th>
<th>No Action</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rejected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Updating the Handwashing Procedure to Reflect Liquid/Foam Soaps

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Insufficient science/research has been provided to take action on the recommended solution. Does not take into account removal of gross contamination.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
### Conference for Food Protection
#### 2016 Issue Form

**Issue: 2016 III-010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>_____ No Action</th>
<th>x _____</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>_____ Rejected</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All information above the line is for conference use only.*

**Title:**

Hand Cleanse-Sanitize Protocol Not Requiring Running Water

**Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:**

no action. The issue is adequately addressed in the 2013 FDA Food Code, Sections 5-203.11 and a performance standard has not been determined per Issue 2016-III-006.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Reduce risk of cross-contamination by hands.

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action; it contradicts FDA Food Code section 3-304.15(A).

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Food Service Employees Not Meeting Hand Hygiene Timing Compliance Code

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

no action. Insufficient science/research has been provided to take action on the recommended solution.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:
Motion-Activated Handwashing Sinks

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Council has multiple concerns of how the technology works. This Issue is adequately addressed in the 2013 FDA Food Code, Section(s) 5-203.11 and 5-205.15.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:
Bandage, Finger Cot, and Stall contamination

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined):

2-401.13 **Bandages, Finger Cots, or Stall products on Wrists, Hands or Fingers**

2-401.13 An impermeable cover such as a bandage, finger cot or stall located on the wrist, hand or finger of a food employee working with exposed food shall be covered with a **Single-Use glove.**

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Require disposable gloves at foodservice handwash sinks

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. It is unnecessary and too prescriptive.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection  
2016 Issue Form  

Issue: 2016 III-016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>_____ No Action</th>
<th>_____</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>_____ Rejected</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Employee Health Interventions – Reducing Norovirus

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting that the 2013 Food Code, Part 2-2 Employee Health, Subpart 2-201 Responsibilities of Permit Holder, Person in Charge, Food Employees, and Conditional Employees be amended to reflect the following changes:

1. Extend the exclusion period for food employees symptomatic with vomiting or diarrhea and NO diagnosis of norovirus illness from a minimum of 24 hours after becoming asymptomatic to a minimum of 48 hours after becoming asymptomatic.
2. Remove the distinction in criteria for exclusion and restriction in highly susceptible populations (HSP) and non-HSP establishments, thereby requiring exclusion until a minimum of 48 hours after becoming asymptomatic in all settings.
3. Remove the allowance to restrict a food employee that has been diagnosed with an infection from norovirus (exclusion criteria only).
4. Extend the exclusion period for a food employee who is asymptomatic and diagnosed with norovirus illness from a minimum of 24 hours to a minimum of 48 hours.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Amend Food Code – Clarify Clean-up of Vomiting and Diarrheal Events

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

that a letter be sent to the FDA recommending:
1) the expansion of Annex 3 as related to Food Code section 2-501.11 “Clean-up of Vomiting and Diarrheal Events” to provide guidance for written procedures; and
2) the 2013 Food Code be amended to include clarifying language for written procedures as follows (new language is underlined):

2-501.11 Clean-up of Vomiting and Diarrheal Events.

A FOOD ESTABLISHMENT shall have written procedures for EMPLOYEES to follow when responding to vomiting or diarrheal events that involve the discharge of vomitus or fecal matter onto surfaces in the FOOD ESTABLISHMENT. The procedures shall address the specific actions EMPLOYEES must take to minimize the spread of contamination and the exposure of EMPLOYEES, consumers, FOOD, and surfaces to vomitus or fecal matter.

In the case of a vomit event due to suspected infectious disease (excluding events self-reported by vomiting individual such as pregnancy, medication or chronic disease), these written procedures should include:

- Cordoning off an area of no less than 25 feet in radius, and likelihood of aerosolization of virus particles.
- Identifying disinfection products sufficient to inactivate norovirus which may include at least 1000 ppm chlorine or other disinfectant registered as effective against norovirus by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
- Initial cleaning of gross visible contamination to minimize spread (including disinfectant and/or absorbent).
- Take into account the differences for cleaning and disinfecting of hard and soft surfaces.
- Cleaning and disinfection tools and equipment from food preparation, storage and handling areas.
• Steps to address the disinfection of wares and fabrics used within the vomit event areas.
• Include a training program for clean-up employees or building maintenance or janitorial staff.
• **Employing personal protective equipment (PPE).**
• Monitoring of clean-up employees for symptoms for 72 hours post event.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-018

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted  _____ Accepted as Amended  _____ No Action  x _____

Delegate Action: Accepted  _____ Rejected  _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Sore Throat with Fever

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action because Streptococcus pyogenes is a public health concern for food handlers.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Plant Food Cooking Time Frame

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action because insufficient science/research has been provided to take action on the recommended solution.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-020

Council Recommendation: Submitted  x  Amended  _____ No Action  _____
Delegate Action: Accepted  _____ Rejected  _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Plant Food Cooking for Hot Holding

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended as follows
(language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

Section 3-401.13

Plant foods, such as fruits, and vegetables, roots and grains, that are cooked for hot
holding shall be cooked to a temperature of 57°C (135°F).

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name
or a commercial proprietary process.
**Title:**

Cooking by food temperature

**Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:**

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting that Section 3-401.11 of the 2013 Food Code be evaluated for clarification including discussions regarding humidity, and not limiting oven types.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Slow Continuous Cooking of Raw Animal Foods

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to FDA CFSAN and USDA FSIS requesting they evaluate the cooking temperatures in FDA Food Code sections 3-401.11 (A-C) and 3-401.13 and any other related or affected sections regarding the concern that operators may have food that dwells in the temperature danger zone for times that are hazardous for foodborne illness pathogens and their toxins.

The Conference further requests that the FDA and/or USDA consider presenting Issue(s) to the 2018 CFP biennial meeting that helps minimize this foodborne illness concern.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 III-023

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted x Amended No Action
Delegate Action: Accepted Rejected

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Reheating Commercially Processed TCS Foods in a Microwave for Hot Holding

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

Section 3-403.11

(A) Except as specified under ¶¶ (B) and (C) and in ¶ (E) (F) of this section, TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD that is cooked, cooled, and reheated for hot holding shall be reheated so that all parts of the FOOD reach a temperature of at least 74°C (165°F) for 15 seconds.

(B) Except as specified under ¶ (C) of this section, TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD reheated in a microwave oven for hot holding shall be reheated so that all parts of the FOOD reach a temperature of at least 74°C (165°F) and the FOOD is rotated or stirred, covered, and allowed to stand covered for 2 minutes after reheating.

(C) READY-TO-EAT TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD that has been commercially processed and PACKAGED in a FOOD PROCESSING PLANT that is inspected by the REGULATORY AUTHORITY that has jurisdiction over the plant, shall be heated to a temperature of at least 57°C (135°F) when being reheated.

(D) TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD reheated in a microwave oven for hot holding shall be rotated or stirred, covered, and allowed to stand covered for 2 minutes after reheating.

(Ε) Reheating for hot holding as specified under ¶¶ (A) - (C) of this section shall be done rapidly and the time the FOOD is between 5°C (41°F) and the temperatures specified under ¶¶ (A) - (C) of this section may not exceed 2 hours.
Remaining unsliced portions of MEAT roasts that are cooked as specified under ¶ 3-401.11(B) may be reheated for hot holding using the oven parameters and minimum time and temperature conditions specified under ¶ 3-401.11(B).

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
Separation of Packaged Products Displayed at Retail

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

a letter be sent to the FDA to amend the FDA Food Code section 3-302.11 to allow raw and ready to eat foods that are packaged to be stored next to each other with the intent of the following:

3-302.11

A) FOOD shall be protected from cross contamination by:

(1) Except as specified in (1)(c) or (d) below, separating raw animal FOODS during storage, preparation, holding, and display from:

(a) Raw READY-TO-EAT FOOD including other raw animal FOOD such as FISH for sushi or MOLLUSCAN SHELLFISH, or other raw READY-TO-EAT FOOD such as fruits and vegetables, and

(b) Cooked READY-TO-EAT FOOD;

(c) Frozen, commercially processed and packaged raw animal FOOD may be stored or displayed with or above frozen, commercially processed and packaged, ready-to eat food.

(d) Food that is vacuum packaged, modified atmosphere packaged (MAP), or hermetically sealed to prevent the entry of microbes and other contaminants such as chemicals, physical barriers or other effective means may be displayed with or above foods packaged in the same manner.

(2) Except when combined as ingredients, separating types of raw animal FOODS from each other such as beef, FISH, lamb, pork, and POULTRY during storage, preparation, holding, and display by:
(a) Using separate EQUIPMENT for each type, or
(b) Arranging each type of FOOD in EQUIPMENT so that cross contamination of one type with another is prevented, and
(c) Preparing each type of FOOD at different times or in separate areas;

(3) Cleaning EQUIPMENT and UTENSILS as specified under ¶ 4-602.11(A) and SANITIZING as specified under § 4-703.11;

(4) Except as specified under Subparagraph 3-501.15(B)(2) and in ¶ (B) of this section, storing the FOOD in packages, covered containers, or wrappings;

(5) Cleaning HERMETICALLY SEALED CONTAINERS of FOOD of visible soil before opening;

(6) Protecting FOOD containers that are received packaged together in a case or overwrap from cuts when the case or overwrap is opened;

(7) Storing damaged, spoiled, or recalled FOOD being held in the FOOD ESTABLISHMENT as specified under § 6-404.11; and

(8) Separating fruits and vegetables, before they are washed as specified under § 3-302.15 from READY-TO-EAT FOOD.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Separating Raw Animal Food from Unwashed Fruits and Vegetables

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

Section 3-302.11(A)(1)

(A) FOOD shall be protected from cross contamination by:

(1) Except as specified in (1)(c) (d) below, separating raw animal FOODS during storage, preparation, holding, and display from:

(a) Raw READY-TO-EAT FOOD including other raw animal FOOD such as FISH for sushi or MOLLUSCAN SHELLFISH, or other raw READY-TO-EAT FOOD such as fruits and vegetables, and

(b) Cooked READY-TO-EAT FOOD; 

(c) Fruits and vegetables before they are washed as specified under § 3-302.15.

(c) (d) Frozen, commercially processed and packaged raw animal FOOD may be stored or displayed with or above frozen, commercially processed and packaged, ready-to-eat food.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Chemical treatment of water used to wash or crisp raw fruits and vegetables

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

a committee be created to:

1. Review science and public health impact of water treatment options to minimize cross-contamination when using a water bath for washing, rinsing, crisping, processing, and/or other treatments of Raw Agricultural Commodities (RACs) and ready-to-eat (RTE) fruits and vegetables in food establishments;
2. Identify conditions of use, including types of RACs and RTE fruits and vegetables, and methods for assuring efficacy of use;
3. Review applicable rules and regulations pertaining to the use of water and chemicals for washing, rinsing, crisping, processing, and/or other treatments of RACs and RTE fruits and vegetables as it relates to food establishments to avoid creating conflict.
4. Consult with appropriate professional produce trade organizations; and
5. Report back with recommendations to the 2018 Biennial Meeting of the Conference for Food Protection.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
Chemicals Used for Washing and Treating Fruits and Vegetables

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code Section 7-204.12 Chemicals for Washing, Treatment, Storage and Processing Fruits and Vegetables, Criteria be modified as follows (language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

(A) Chemicals, including those generated on-site, used to wash or peel raw whole fruits and vegetables shall:

(1) Be an approved food additive listed for this intended use in 21 CFR 173, or
(2) Be generally recognized as safe (GRAS) for this intended use, or
(3) Be the subject of an effective food contact notification for this intended use (only effective for the manufacturer or supplier identified in the notification), and
(4) Meet the requirements in 40 CFR 16 Labeling Requirement for Pesticide and Devices.

(B) Chemicals Ozone, including those generated on-site, used as an antimicrobial agent used in the treatment, storage, and processing of fruits and vegetables in a food establishment shall: meet the requirements of 21 CFR 173.368 Ozone:

(1) Meet the requirements in 7-204.11 and 7-204.12 (A), and,
(2) Be appropriately cleared by FDA and be used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions, and also (if applicable),
(3) Be appropriately registered with EPA and be used in accordance with the EPA registered label use instructions.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Council: Accepted as Submitted
Recommendation: Accepted as Amended x No Action
Delegate Action: Accepted Rejected

Title:
Ambient Cooling Pre-chilled TCS Foods

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting that section 3-501.14 of the 2013 FDA Food Code be amended to clearly state that pre-chilled time/temperature control for safety (TCS) foods that rise above 5 degrees C (41 degrees F) during preparation be cooled within four (4) hours to 5 degrees C (41 degrees F) or less when the product would be held for cold holding.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
Acidified Food Date Marking Exemption

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined):

Section 3-501.17 (G):

(G) Paragraph (B) of this section does not apply to the following FOODS prepared and PACKAGED by a FOOD PROCESSING PLANT inspected by a REGULATORY AUTHORITY:

1. Deli salads, such as ham salad, seafood salad, chicken salad, egg salad, pasta salad, potato salad, and macaroni salad, manufactured in accordance with 21 CFR 110 Current good manufacturing practice in manufacturing, packing, or holding human food;

2. Hard cheeses containing not more than 39% moisture as defined in 21 CFR 133 Cheeses and related cheese products, such as cheddar, gruyere, parmesan and reggiano, and romano;

3. Semi-soft cheeses containing more than 39% moisture, but not more than 50% moisture, as defined in 21 CFR 133 Cheeses and related cheese products, such as blue, edam, gorgonzola, gouda, and monterey jack;

4. Cultured dairy products as defined in 21 CFR 131 Milk and cream, such as yogurt, sour cream, and buttermilk;

5. Preserved FISH products, such as pickled herring and dried or salted cod, and other acidified FISH products defined in 21 CFR 114 Acidified foods;

6. Shelf stable, dry fermented sausages, such as pepperoni and Genoa; and
(7) Shelf stable salt-cured products such as prosciutto and Parma (ham).

(8) Packaged acidified food items, such as salad dressings, salsas, fruits, vegetables, etc. that have been manufactured in accordance with 21 CFR 114 Acidified Foods.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Council: Accepted as Submitted  x  Amended  _____  No Action  _____

Delegate Action: Accepted  _____  Rejected  _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Amend Food Code – Clarify sprouting as a specialized process

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA recommending the 2013 Food Code be amended to include clarifying language for "sprouting seeds or beans." Recommended language to read (new language is underlined):

3-502.11 Variance Requirement

A FOOD ESTABLISHMENT shall obtain a VARIANCE from the REGULATORY AUTHORITY as specified in § 8-103.10 and under § 8-103.11 before:

(H) Sprouting seeds or beans for the purpose of human consumption of both the seed and the sprout, as in raw seed sprouts.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
### Title:

Amend Food Code – Include Definition for Curing

### Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on the formation of a special process committee from Issue 2016 III-034.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Amend Food Code Annex – Clarifying ROP of fish requirements

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended to require reduced oxygen packaging (ROP) fish packaged at retail food establishments be accompanied by a label indicating ROP fish is to be kept frozen until further use and removed from packaging for thawing and that retail food establishments be required to remove ROP fish from packaging before thawing.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
Fish Advisory Committee

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on the fact that this Issue is adequately addressed in the current FDA Food Code, Annex 3, section 3-201.14. The FDA Food Code is not the best place to cover this information. There are other agencies that cover this information.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:
Reducing the need for HACCP Plans Under 3-502.12

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
a Special Process Controls Committee be formed with the following charges:

1. To review current FDA Food Code specialized processes, including curing and reduced oxygen packaging (ROP) in sections 3-502.11 and 3-502.12 to determine when and if food safety hazards could be controlled by a plan less than a full HACCP plan as defined in 8-201.14.
2. Report back findings and recommendations to the 2018 biennial meeting of the Conference for Food Protection.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
### Conference for Food Protection
#### 2016 Issue Form

**Issue: 2016 III-035**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>_____</th>
<th>No Action</th>
<th>_____</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All information above the line is for conference use only.*

---

**Title:**

Revise Food Code to be Consistent with FSIS Requirements and Guidance

**Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:**

that a letter be sent to FDA recommending that the 2013 FDA Food Code be modified to reflect the following (language to be added is underlined):

1. Provide a new definition in Chapter 1 Purpose and Definitions for the term INTACT MEAT to read: "**Intact meat**" means a cut of whole muscle(s) MEAT that has not undergone comminution, injection, mechanical tenderization, or reconstruction.
2. Clarify which criteria apply to INTACT MEAT (cook to 145°F for 15 sec internal temperature).
3. Revise the minimum cooking temperature that applies to mechanically tenderized and injected meats, from 155°F for 15 seconds to 155°F for 17 seconds.
4. Revise the minimum cooking temperature that applies to poultry from 165°F for 15 seconds to 165°F instantaneous.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

“Intended Use” for Raw Beef Source Materials

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

to send a letter to USDA FSIS to discuss better ways to label this information to retail operators for whole-muscle intact beef to remain whole-muscle intact beef at the retail level.
Title:
Creation of a Mail-Order Food Safety Committee

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a Mail-Order Food Safety Committee be created composed of members from all constituencies of the CFP. The Committee will be charged with:

1. Identifying best practices and existing guidance documents that relate to shipment directly to a consumer of perishable food items.
2. Developing a guidance document for food establishments that includes best practices for transportation directly to a consumer of perishable products, to include proper packaging; temperature control during shipping, receiving, and storage; return of compromised and abused products; and other food safety related topics.
3. Determining appropriate methods of sharing the committee’s work, including but not limited to a recommendation that a letter be sent to FDA requesting that the Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting Documents) be amended by adding references to the new guidance document as well as any existing guidance documents that the committee recommends, and the posting of information on the CFP website.
4. Reporting the committee’s findings and recommendations to the 2018 Biennial Meeting of the Conference for Food Protection.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Use of pre-formulated sanitizing solutions

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to FDA requesting the 2013 Food Code be amended by adding a new paragraph to Section 4-302.14 as follows (language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

4-302.14 Sanitizing Solutions, Testing Devices.

(A) Except as specified under ¶(B) of this section, a test kit or other device that shall be provided to accurately measure the concentration in mg/l of sanitizing solutions shall be provided.

(B) The availability of a test kit or other device specified under ¶(A) of this section, does not apply to pre-formulated sanitizing solutions that are ready-to-use, not diluted or mixed in the food establishment, and are sprayed directly onto food contact surfaces.

Note: italic font is consistent with Food Code formatting; it offers an exception or another possibility and is pursuant to a preceding provision that states a requirement (exception to the rule).

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Surface Cleaning of Utensils and Equipment in Contact with Non-TCS Foods

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on insufficient science/research having been provided to take action on the recommended solution.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:
Add a definition for ATP

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on this term is not used anywhere in the 2013 FDA Food Code.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Biofilm definition

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on the term is not used in the 2013 FDA Food Code.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 I-016

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted
                                    Accepted as Amended
                                    No Action  x

Delegate Action: Accepted           Rejected

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Food Establishments With Robotic Operations

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action based on the 2013 FDA Food Code section 8-103 adequately addressing the variance process.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection
2016 Issue Form

Issue: 2016 I-027

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted ______ Amended ______ No Action x
Delegate Action: Accepted ______ Rejected ______

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Protecting Unwashed Produce From Cross Contamination

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
no action because this Issue is the same as Issue 2016 III-025.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.