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<td>--------</td>
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<tr>
<td>III-030</td>
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Issue: 2018 III-001

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted X Amended _____ No Action ____
Delegate Action: Accepted X Rejected _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Report-Mail Order Foods Safety Committee (MOFSC)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
acknowledgement of the Mail Order Foods Safety Committee final report and thanking the committee members for their efforts and hard work.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:
Report – Special Process Controls Committee (SPCC)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

1. Acknowledgment of the 2016-2018 *Special Process Controls* Committee report;
2. Thanking the members of the Committee for their work; and
3. That the Committee be disbanded.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Report – Produce Wash Water Committee (PWWC)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

Acknowledgement of the 2016-2018 Produce Wash Water Committee final report and thanking the committee for the effort the members put forth in working on the charges.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

MOFSC 2- Approval of Guidance Document for Mail Order Food Companies

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

1. acceptance of the committee generated draft guidance document entitled "Guidance Document for Mail Order Food Companies" (attached to Issue titled: Report- Mail Order Foods Safety Committee);
2. posting the guidance document on the CFP website in a downloadable PDF format; and
3. authorizing the Conference to make any necessary edits prior to posting the document to assure consistency of format and non-technical content; edits will not affect the technical content of the document.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

MOFSC 3 - Amend Food Code to Add Guidance Document for Mail Order Food

**Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:**

no action. This document is not ready to be integrated into the Food Code.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

MOFSC 4- Recreate the Mail Order Food Safety Committee at the 2020 Biennial

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a Direct to Consumer Delivery Food Safety Committee be created. Committee charges include:

1. Identify current recommended practices and existing guidance documents that relate to shipment directly to a consumer of perishable food items and for the safe delivery of food by Third Party Delivery Services (TPDS) entities.

2. Revise the Guidance Document for Mail Order Food Companies that includes recommended practices for transportation directly to a consumer of perishable products, to include proper packaging; temperature control during shipping, receiving, and storage; return of compromised and abused products; and other food safety related topics. Current guidance document to be revised to include food safety training for the TPDS entities, and information on all food delivery practices from food production, distribution, or retail food service facilities.

3. Determine appropriate methods of sharing the committee’s work, including but not limited to a recommendation that a letter be sent to FDA requesting that the Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting Documents) be amended by adding references to the new guidance document as well as any existing guidance documents that the committee recommends, and the posting of information on the CFP website.

4. Report the committee’s findings and recommendations to the 2020 Biennial Meeting of the Conference for Food Protection.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

SPCC 2- Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template Guidance Document

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

2. Authorizing the Conference to make any necessary edits prior to posting the document on the CFP web site to assure consistency of format and non-technical content; edits will not affect the technical content of the document.
3. Posting the final document on the CFP website in PDF and editable Word format.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

SPCC 3 - SHSP HACCP Template for ROP of Raw Meat, Cheese, Frozen Fish

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:


2. Reference to curing salt measurement be removed from Section E and “THE PIC must review section C and D with employees and complete a hands-on training for section D. Provide a training log form as an attachment to this *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*. The training sessions must be recorded in this log, and must include date, employees present, and instructor. Maintain the training log as an additional appendix to this *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*” be the language for Section E.

3. The word “nitrite” be removed from the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) section.

4. The word “poultry” be added to Section B2.

5. The FDA Food Code section 8-301.11 be changed to FDA Food Code section 8-201.14 in Section B.

6. Authorizing the Conference to make any necessary edits prior to posting the document on the CFP website to assure consistency of format and non-technical content; edits will not affect the technical content of the document.

7. Posting the final document in both PDF and editable formats on the CFP website.

The Conference further recommends that a letter be sent to the FDA encouraging them to amend the most recent edition of the Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting Documents) by adding a reference to this template as a Conference approved *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

SPCC 4 – SHSP HACCP Template for Curing as a Single Special Process

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

2. Authorizing the Conference to make any necessary edits prior to posting the document on the CFP website to assure consistency of format and non-technical content; edits will not affect the technical content of the document.
3. Posting the final document in both PDF and editable formats on the CFP website.

The Conference further recommends that a letter be sent to the FDA encouraging them to amend the most recent edition of the Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting Documents) by adding a reference to this template as a Conference approved Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

SPCC 5 – SHSP HACCP Template for Sushi Rice Acidification

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

1. Approval of the draft *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template* titled *Sushi Rice Acidification* (attached as a content document to Issue titled: Report - Special Process Control Committee).

2. Reference to curing salt measurement be removed from Section E and “Each employee is required to receive training such that they understand the hazards and controls and that they may perform their role in this *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*. The PIC must review sections C and D with employees and complete a hands-on training for Section D. Provide a training log form as an attachment to this *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*. The training sessions must be recorded in this log, and must include date, employees present, and instructor. Maintain the training log as an additional appendix to this *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*” be the language for Section E.

3. The word “nitrite” be removed from the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) section.

4. The words “this control measure is effective” be removed from Section C2.

5. The words “US FDA Seafood HACCP Guide” be removed from Section C2 and replaced with “FDA Fish and Fisheries Products and Hazards Control Guidance Fourth Edition Appendix 4.”


7. The words “pH meter calibration” be added after the “i.e” in Section F.

8. Reference to a pH of 4.3 be amended to a pH of 4.19 in Section D1, D2, and D3.

9. Authorizing the Conference to make any necessary edits prior to posting the document on the CFP website to assure consistency of format and non-technical content; edits will not affect the technical content of the document.

10. Posting the final document in both PDF and editable formats on the CFP website.

The Conference further recommends that a letter be sent to the FDA encouraging them to amend the most recent edition of the Food Code, Annex 2 (References, Part 3-Supporting
Documents) by adding a reference to this template as a Conference approved *Single Hazard Special Process HACCP Template*.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

PWWC 2 – Amend Food Code to add definition for “CRISPING”

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...

No action. This issue will be addressed by 2018-III-013.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

PWWC 3 – Approval & Posting of PWWC Documents on CFP website

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. This issue is suitably addressed via the Produce Wash Water Committee (PWWC) report and its attached documents (see Issue 2018-III-003).

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:
Re-Create – Produce Wash Water Committee

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that the Produce Wash Water Committee be re-created with the following charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:

   a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.

   b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.

   c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.

2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title: Amend Food Code - U.S. No. 1 Potatoes

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Insufficient information has been provided to take action on the Recommended Solution.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
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Issue: 2018 III-015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>No Action</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Delegate Action: | Accepted | X | Rejected |   |

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Amend Food Code 3-402.11(A) regarding undercooked non-Thunnus fish

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Insufficient science/research/information has been provided to take action on the Recommended Solution. There is currently no clear differentiation between raw and partially cooked.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Amend Food Code Cook-chill/sous vide ROP option for ROP bags to 41°F

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting the most current edition of the Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined; language to be deleted is in strikethrough format)

§ 3-502.12(D)(e) Reduced Oxygen Packaging without a Variance, Criteria

(iii) Cooled to 1°C (34°F) within 48 hours of reaching 5°C (41°F), removed from refrigeration equipment that maintains a 1°C (34°F) food temperature and then held at 5°C (41°F) or less for no more than 7 days, not to exceed 30 days from its date of packaging, at which time the food must be consumed or discarded;²

(iv) Held frozen with no shelf life restriction while frozen until consumed or used.

²It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
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Issue: 2018 III-017

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted _____ Accepted as Amended _____ No Action X

Delegate Action: Accepted X Rejected _____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Amend Food Code – Endpoint Temperature for Non-Ready-to-Eat Frozen Foods

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Based on FDA feedback that the FDA is currently drafting a guide regarding this Issue. FDA indicates that they will report back to the Conference the status of a draft guide.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Amend Food Code - Clarify 3-301.11(D) for Single Ingredient Food

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting an interpretation that clarifies Section 3-301.11(D) of the Food Code in relation to bare hand contact with single ingredient ready-to-eat food items immediately prior to cooking, heating or reheating for hot holding and that the final interpretation document be posted to the Food Code Reference System.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Amend Food Code - Room Temp Non-TCS Food Becoming TCS, Then Held Using TPHC

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting Section 3-501.19 of the most current FDA Food Code be amended as follows (language to be added is underlined):

Section 3-501.19

(B) If time without temperature control is used as the public health control up to a maximum of 4 hours:

(1) The FOOD shall have an initial temperature of 5°C (41°F) or less when removed from cold holding temperature control, or 57°C (135°F) or greater when removed from hot holding temperature control, or at which time the FOOD is made TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD and shall begin at 70°F or less and shall remain at 70°F or less;

(2) The FOOD shall be marked or otherwise identified to indicate the time that is 4 hours past the point in time when the FOOD is removed from temperature control or at which time it becomes a TIME/TEMPERATURE CONTROL FOR SAFETY FOOD;

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Amend Food Code - Reheating RTE Food to be Held Using TPHC

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to FDA requesting clarification of Section 3-501.19 of the most current edition of the Food Code to indicate foods reheated prior to being held using time as a public health control must be reheated as specified in Section 3-403.11.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Conference for Food Protection
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Issue: 2018 III-021

Council Recommendation:  Accepted as Submitted  ____  Amended  ____  No Action  ____

Delegate Action:  Accepted  ____  Rejected  ____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:

Create Committee - Cooking/Heating Commercially Processed Not RTE Food

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA and USDA requesting that a NOT READY-TO-EAT and READY-TO-EAT guidance document be drafted by the FDA and USDA and a status report be given by the FDA and USDA to the CFP at the 2020 Biennial Meeting.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Creation of a Committee - Safe Cooking of Rotisserie Chicken

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. The Issue is adequately addressed in Sections 2-101.12 and 2-103.11 of the current FDA Food Code.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Creation of a Committee - Safe Handling and Cooking of Roaster Pigs

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. The Issue is adequately addressed in Section 3-401.11 of the 2017 Food Code.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Creation of a Committee - Pass/Fail Criteria for a Product Assessment

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a Product Assessment Committee be created to leverage the National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods (NACMCF) challenge study guidelines document to create tools that are easier for the end users to understand and implement.

Charges for this committee would include creating:

1. A standardized template and checklist of appropriate criteria to consider for a challenge study, including directions for use.
2. A tool to assist in selecting appropriate organisms.
3. Standardized guidance on how to interpret results.
4. Direction on when it is appropriate to use computer modeling to either support or replace an inoculation study.
5. Report the committee’s findings and recommendations back to the Conference at the 2020 Biennial Meeting.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
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Issue: 2018 III-025

Council Recommendation: Accepted as Submitted ____ Amended ____ No Action X

Delegate Action: Accepted X Rejected ____

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Amend Food Code Hand Washing Timing not in Compliance

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. The Issue is adequately addressed in Section 2-301.12 of the current FDA Food Code. There was not enough statistically significant data presented.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Conference for Food Protection
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All information above the line is for conference use only.

Issue: 2018 III-026

Council Recommendation:  Accepted as Submitted X Amended _____ No Action _____
Delegate Action: Accepted X Rejected _____

Title:
Amend Food Code – Remove Chemically Treated Towelette from 5-203.11

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting that Section 5-203.11 of the most current edition of the Food Code be amended as follows: (Language to be deleted is in strikethrough format):

5-203.11 Handwashing Sinks.

(A) Except as specified in ¶¶ (B) and (C) of this section, at least 1 HANDWASHING SINK, a number of HANDWASHING SINKS necessary for their convenient use by EMPLOYEES in areas specified under § 5-204.11, and not fewer than the number of HANDWASHING SINKS required by LAW shall be provided.

(B) If APPROVED and capable of removing the types of soils encountered in the FOOD operations involved, automatic handwashing facilities may be substituted for HANDWASHING SINKS in a FOOD ESTABLISHMENT that has at least 1 HANDWASHING SINK.

(C) If APPROVED, when FOOD exposure is limited and HANDWASHING SINKS are not conveniently available, such as in some mobile or TEMPORARY FOOD ESTABLISHMENTS or at some VENDING MACHINE LOCATIONS, EMPLOYEES may use chemically-treated towelettes for handwashing.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Title:

Amend Food Code -Hand Cleanse-Sanitize Protocol Not Requiring Running Water

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Insufficient science has been provided to take action on the Recommended Solution.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.
Amend Food Code - Mechanical Warewashing Temp per Manufacturer's Label

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

that a letter be sent to the FDA requesting that Section 4-501.110 of the most current published version of the Food Code be amended as follows (with new language identified by underlines):

§ 4-501.110 Mechanical Warewashing Equipment, Wash Solution Temperature.

(A) The temperature of the wash solution in spray type warewashers that use hot water to SANITIZE may not be less than:

(1) For a stationary rack, single temperature machine, 74°C (165°F); \textsuperscript{Pf}

(2) For a stationary rack, dual temperature machine, 66°C (150°F); \textsuperscript{Pf}

(3) For a single tank, conveyor, dual temperature machine, 71°C (160°F); \textsuperscript{Pf} or

(4) For a multitank, conveyor, multitemperature machine, 66°C (150°F). \textsuperscript{Pf}

(B) The temperature of the wash solution in spray-type warewashers that use chemicals to SANITIZE may not be less than 49°C (120°F), or the temperature specified on the cleaning agents manufacturer’s label instructions. \textsuperscript{Pf}

\textit{It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.}
### Title:
Sanitizer Contact Time

### Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
no action. The issue is adequately addressed in the Paragraph 4-703.11(C) of the current FDA Food Code.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Copper Mugs Used with Acidic Beverages

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. Insufficient science has been provided to take action on the Recommended Solution.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Recommendation:</th>
<th>Accepted as Submitted</th>
<th>Accepted as Amended</th>
<th>No Action</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delegate Action:</td>
<td>Accepted X Rejected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information above the line is for conference use only.

Title:
Amend Food Code – Date Marking Requirements on Consumer Deli Meat

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:
no action. The issue is adequately addressed in Section 3-501.17 of the current FDA Food Code. Adding another date could cause confusion for the consumer.

*It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.*
Title:

Creation of a Committee - Safety of Third Party Delivery Services (TPDS)

Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:

no action. The charges and scope of this Issue are addressed in Issue 2018-III-006.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.