As the conference began, Mr. LUKER: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to the 2016 assembly of delegates. I like to call to order the general assembly of the 2016 Conference for Food Protection biennial meeting. By way of introductions, I want to introduce who is on the stage here with me. We've got Janet Williams, parliamentarian for today's meeting; Terry Levee, vice chair, CFP, who also will be presenting the report for the resolutions committee; Dr. David McSwane, executive director of CFP; Christopher Gordon, Chair of Council I; Susan Quam, Chair of Council II; Todd Rossow, Chair of Council III; Eric Pippert, treasurer of the conference; and Kimberly Destromp, assistant parliamentarian. The court reporter is on the end. First I wanted to offer a few words of appreciation. This obviously is not your typical conference as many people think of conferences. We are here to learn and network, but it's also a working conferences. Council members would like to thank the state delegates for making an effort to be here. All the council members and their leadership worked diligently over the last four days in debating issues and arriving at consensus-based recommendations for the assembly, and they should be commended. Much of the work, by necessity, is established between the conference meetings and performed by committees and their leadership. By a show of hands, who here today has participated on committee work in the past two years. (Applause.)

MR. LUKER: That's great because active involvement is what makes this organization work and be successful. I encourage everyone in the room to be actively engaged in the process. The process is only successful because of the committed individuals who are here. You can't be a spectator and make this conference work. Everybody is aware of that. Conference is a lot of work to put together every two years, and there is a lot of work that happens behind the scenes. It's a lot more than just every two years. It only happens with dedicated individuals. The conference has a great executive staff, with Dr. David McSwane as
1 our executive director. Aggie Hale is our  
2 executive assistant, and Eric Pippert is our  
3 executive treasurer. They put in a tremendous  
4 amount of time in organizing, preparing, and  
5 working with the local arrangements committee and  
6 hotel staff to make sure that everything ran  
7 smoothly this week.  
8 I'd also like to publicly show an  
9 appreciation for their assistance over the past  
10 two years that I served as chair of the  
11 conference. None of us would be here without  
12 them. They're part-time employees, but the amount  
13 of hours they put in is unbelievable.  
14 (Applause.)  
15 MR. LUKER: I think everyone would  
16 appreciate the great location for the conference.  
17 The accommodations have been great. The food and  
18 hospitality have been superb. The local  
19 arrangements committee has been a big part of that  
20 the whole time. I know later on we'll publicly  
21 recognize them, but as you leave today, please  
22 make an effort to thank all of those volunteers  
23 who participated in the local arrangements  
24 committee to make the conference an outstanding  
25 event.  
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1 DR. McSWANE: Colorado, Troy Huffman?  
2 MR. HUFFMAN: Present, one full vote.  
3 DR. McSWANE: Connecticut, Jessica  
4 Fletcher?  
5 MS. FLETCHER: Present, one full vote.  
6 DR. McSWANE: Delaware, Ralph Matthews?  
7 MR. MATTHEWS: Present, one full vote.  
8 DR. McSWANE: The District of Columbia,  
9 Victoria Grover-Fletcher?  
10 MS. GROVER-FLETCHER: Present, one-half  
11 vote.  
12 DR. McSWANE: Florida, Matt Colson,  
13 Department of Agricultural and Consumer Services?  
14 MR. COLSON: Present, one-third vote.  
15 DR. McSWANE: Florida, Rick Akin, Florida  
16 Department of Business and Professional  
17 Regulation?  
18 MR. AKIN: Present, one-third vote.  
19 DR. McSWANE: Ric Mathis, Florida  
20 Department of Health?  
21 MR. RIC MATHIS: Present, one-third vote.  
22 DR. McSWANE: Georgia? We have Bruce  
23 Varnadoe, Department of Agriculture.  
24 MR. VARNADOE: Present, one-half vote.  
25 DR. McSWANE: Priya Nair, Department of  
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1 So at this time, I'd like to turn the  
2 podium over to our executive director,  
3 Dr. McSwane, to conduct the roll call for the  
4 assembly delegates.  
5 DR. DAVID McSWANE: Thank you, John.  
6 And good morning. I'd like to begin with  
7 a roll call of the states and the territories that  
8 are here today. As I read the name of your state,  
9 I'd like for each of you to respond by indicating  
10 the share of the vote that you have. Each state  
11 will be allowed one vote. The District of  
12 Columbia and its territory is allowed one half of  
13 a vote. If a state has more than one agency  
14 represented, then they are going to divide that  
15 vote proportionately.  
16 Alabama, Phyllis Fenn?  
17 MS. FENN: Present, one full vote.  
18 DR. McSWANE: Alaska, Kimberly Stryker?  
19 MS. STRYKER: Present, one full vote.  
20 DR. McSWANE: Arizona, Blanca Caballero?  
21 MS. CABALLERO: Present, one full vote.  
22 DR. McSWANE: Arkansas, Sherri Woodus?  
23 MS. WOODUS: Present, one full vote.  
24 DR. McSWANE: California, Brenda Faw?  
25 MS. BRENDA FAW: Present, one full vote.  
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1   MS. BERNAZZANI: Present, one full vote.
2   DR. McSWANE: Michigan, Sandra Walker?
3   MS. WALKER: Present, one full vote.
4   DR. McSWANE: Minnesota, Jeff Luedeman?
5   MR. LUEDEMAN: Present, one-half vote.
6   DR. McSWANE: From the State Department of Health in Minnesota, Steven Diaz?
7   MS. CARLTON: Kim Carlton present, one-half vote.
8   DR. McSWANE: Sorry, Kim. We had that. Mississippi, who will be representing both the State Department of Health and the State Department of Agricultural and Commerce, is Elizabeth "Queen" Swayne.
9   MS. SWAYZE: Present, full vote.
10  DR. McSWANE: Missouri, Ellen Dettman?
11  MS. DETTMAN: Present, one full vote.
12  DR. McSWANE: Montana, Christine Cox?
13  MS. COX: Present, one full vote.
14  DR. McSWANE: Nebraska, Melva Ball?
15  MS. BALL: Present, one-half vote.
16  DR. McSWANE: Also from Nebraska representing the Department of Health and Human Services is Mandy Kearney.
17  MS. KEARNEY: Present, one-half vote.
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1   DR. McSWANE: Nevada, David McNinch?
2   MR. MCNINCH: Present, one full vote.
3   DR. McSWANE: New Hampshire, John Seiferth?
4   MR. SEIFERTH: Present, one full vote.
5   DR. McSWANE: New Jersey, William Manley?
6   MR. MANLEY: Present, one full vote.
7   DR. McSWANE: New Mexico, Jonathan Gerhardt?
8   (No audible response.)
9   DR. McSWANE: New York, John Luker?
10  All right. Darby is here. Darby Greco?
11  MR. GRECO: Present, one full vote.
12  DR. McSWANE: North Carolina, Cindy Callahan?
13  MS. CALLAHAN: Present, one full vote.
14  DR. McSWANE: North Dakota, Kenan Bullinger?
15  MS. WAGENDORF: Julie Wagendorf, one full vote.
16  DR. McSWANE: Ohio, Donald Todd Mers?
17  MR. MERS: Present, one-half vote.
18  DR. McSWANE: Representing the department of health, Jamie Higley?
19  MS. HIGLEY: Present, one-half vote.
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1   DR. McSWANE: Oregon, David Martin?
2   MR. MARTIN: Present, one-half vote.
3   DR. McSWANE: And representing the Department of Agriculture, Susan Kendrick?
4   MS. KENDRICK: Present, one-half vote.
5   DR. McSWANE: Rhode Island, Dr. Ernest Julian?
6   DR. JULIAN: Present, one full vote.
7   DR. McSWANE: South Carolina, Sandra Craig?
8   MS. CRAIG: Present, one full vote.
9   DR. McSWANE: South Dakota, Bill Chalcraft?
10  (No audible response.)
11  DR. McSWANE: Tennessee, Shanna Lively?
12  (No audible response.)
13  DR. McSWANE: Tennessee, Lori LeMaster?
14  MS. LEMASTER: Present, half vote.
15  DR. McSWANE: Texas, Chris Sparks?
16  MR. SPARKS: Present, one full vote.
17  DR. McSWANE: Utah, Richard Beckstrand?
18  MR. BECKSTRAND: Present, one-half vote.
19  DR. McSWANE: Representing the Department of Health in Utah is Chris Nelson.
20  MR. NELSON: Present, one-half vote.
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1   DR. McSWANE: Vermont, Elizabeth Wirsing.
2   (No audible response.)
3   DR. McSWANE: Virginia, Pam Miles?
4   MS. MILES: Present, one-half vote.
5   DR. McSWANE: Department of Health in Virginia, Julie Henderson?
6   MS. HENDERSON: Present, one-half vote.
7   DR. McSWANE: Washington, David Gifford?
8   MR. GIFFORD: Present, one full vote.
9   DR. McSWANE: West Virginia, Jessica Douglas?
10  MS. DOUGLAS: Present, one full vote.
11  DR. McSWANE: Wisconsin, Tim Anderson?
12  MR. ANDERSON: Present, one-half vote.
13  DR. McSWANE: Also from Wisconsin, Department of Health Services, James Kaplanek?
14  MR. KAPLANEK: Present, one-half vote.
15  DR. McSWANE: Wyoming is Dawn Helms?
16  MS. HELMS: Present, one full vote.
17  DR. McSWANE: Also from the territory of Guam, Marilou Scroggs?
18  MS. SCROGGS: Present, one-half vote.
19  DR. McSWANE: And from the Northern Mariana Islands, John Tagabuel?
20  (No audible response.)
Assembly of State Delegates Meeting 4/20/2016

DR. McSWANE: Is there anyone whose name
I did not call?
Madam Parliamentarian, there should be 64
delegates. Actually, I think, according to my
records now, 58. Probably 58. And they will be
representing the 44 states, as well as the District
of Columbia and one territory. While they
continue to calculate what constitutes a majority
and two-thirds majority, I will represent the rest
of my report.
Caucus elections to fill positions on the
executive board for the next biennium were held on
Tuesday -- on Monday afternoon, and the following
members were elected to the board.
Filling state regulatory positions, we
had three. In the Midwest region is Rebecca
Krzynanowski from Michigan, who will fill a term
expiring in 2022.
In the southeast region, we have Ann
Johnson, who also will begin a term that expires
in 2022.
In the southwest region, we have Steve
Moris, who is actually completing a term that was
-- that is currently held by Dean Finkeninder. So
Steve will actually be holding this position
for two years. That was the amount of the term
left in Dean's term. And then in 2018, he will be
eligible to be elected to a six-year term on the
board.
Local regulatory, we had two positions
filled from the mid-Atlantic region. David
Lawrence from the Fairfax County Health Department
was elected. And from the Northeast Region
Jessica Fletcher was reelected to a second
six-year term on the executive board.
For industry, we had two open seats.
Larry Eils was reelected to represent vending and
distribution. His term now will expire in 2022.
And Angela Sanchez with CKE Restaurants was
approved as an at-large representative of
industry. And her term will also expire in 2022.
For consumers, David Plunkett was
reelected to start a second term that will expire
in 2022.
In addition to the board positions, the
board also affirmed the appointment of the
following members to be council vice chairs for
the 2016-2018 biennium. In Council I was Donald
Todd Mers from the Ohio Department of Agriculture.
Council II, Sharon Woods from H-E-B

Grocery Company.
And for Council III, Keith Jackson from
Performance Food Group.
I'd also like to remind you that the 2018
conference will be held from April 16th through
the 20th, 2018, at the Richmond Marriott in
Richmond, Virginia. The meeting schedule has
changed for 2018. The preconference workshop and
opening session will be held on Monday for the
meeting. Council sessions will start on
Tuesday. And the assembly of state delegates will
meet on Friday.
We're getting away from the sort of
Friday-to-Wednesday schedule, and we're going more
for a Monday-through-Friday schedule. This was a
result of the survey that was conducted by the
University of Houston, and many of you responded.
And one of the suggestions that was made was that we
thought that the schedule would work better for
people if it were through the week rather than
over the weekend.
So, again, though there will probably be
committee meetings and board meetings on Sunday,
the actual conference with the workshop and the
opening session will be Monday. Councils will
meet on Tuesday, Wednesday, and until noon on
Thursday. And then this particular session and
closing will occur on Friday. And we'll be
finished by approximately noon on those days.
MR. LUKER: All right. Do we have
numbers? We're working on that?
MS. JANET WILLIAMS: We're slow this
morning.
DR. McSWANE: Okay. Let me go ahead and
remind you of the protocol for our meeting.
A quorum must always be present. A
quorum is defined as the presence of registered
voting delegates from at least two-thirds of the
states with designated official delegates in
attendance at this particular meeting.
Each territory, as we said, and the
District of Columbia, shall count as one-half vote
in what constitutes a quorum. A two-thirds
majority is required to change a procedure adopted
at a previous conference or to make changes in the
constitution and bylaws. Other actions require a
simple majority unless specifically covered by
some facet of Robert's Rules of Order.
Voting choices are as follows: You can
vote yes; you can vote no, or you can abstain. A
<table>
<thead>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 council recommendation cannot be changed, however.</td>
<td>1 representatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Votes are cast by, typically, a show of hands or a</td>
<td>2 54 -- the lucky number, I guess --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 voice vote. Roll call votes will only be taken if</td>
<td>4 national and local sponsors provided $160,000 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 requested by a delegate.</td>
<td>5 financing for the operation of the Conference For</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 And Mr. Chair, thank you.</td>
<td>6 Food Protection as well as the biennial meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 MR. LUKER: Thank you, Dr. McSwane.</td>
<td>7 Of course, it's already been mentioned,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 At this time I'd like to recognize our</td>
<td>8 but the local arrangements committee works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 executive treasurer, Eric Pippert.</td>
<td>9 tirelessly before and during the meeting to make</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 MR. ERIC PIPPERT: Good morning, everyone. I hope you all share my sentiment that</td>
<td>10 sure that everything goes smoothly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 I truly enjoyed myself thoroughly. Boise is a</td>
<td>11 Finances are sound. Our 2015 ending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 wonderful city.</td>
<td>12 balance -- I need glasses for that. Excuse me --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Conference attendance, registrations at</td>
<td>13 Was $131,204. And our projected 2016 ending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 this biennial meeting were 477. Our workshop on</td>
<td>14 balance is $286,268.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 the subject of Trends and Innovation in Food</td>
<td>15 I can tell you that the executive board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Protection is 272 individuals.</td>
<td>16 is very diligent in making sure that CFP finances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 And there are a few groups that provide</td>
<td>17 are spent wisely and efficiently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 support that is truly instrumental in making the</td>
<td>18 Financial information, reports, budgets,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 conference and the biennial meeting work. Through</td>
<td>19 and so forth are available on the CFP website at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 a small conference grant in the amount of $50,000</td>
<td>20 <a href="http://www.foodprotection.org">www.foodprotection.org</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 from the Food and Drug Administration, we were</td>
<td>21 With that, I thank you and wish you safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 able to provide travel subsidies to 54 state and</td>
<td>22 travels home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 local regulatory persons, and also consumer</td>
<td>23 (Applause.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 voting process. Everyone in the audience,</td>
<td>24 MR. LUKER: Thank you, Eric.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 delegates, please make sure your phones are turned</td>
<td>25 Okay. Just a few housekeeping items to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 off, or at least put on vibrate. We do ask that</td>
<td>26 talk about at this time before we begin the actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 all delegates remain during the voting process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Delegates, when you speak, please go to the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 microphone, state your name and affiliation. If</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 there is a voting delegate that wishes to recognize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 another speaker from the audience, the speaker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 from the audience must first let the voting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 delegate yield the floor and be recognized by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 the chair. They must also state their name and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 their affiliation before speaking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Traditionally, in order to keep the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 proceedings moving forward in a timely fashion, we</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 like to limit the amount of debate on extracted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 issues.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 I would like to limit the time dedicated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 to discussing issues and would therefore entertain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 a motion to do so.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 MR. MANDERNACH: Mr. Chair, Steve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Mandernach with the Iowa Department of Inspections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 and Appeals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 I would like to make a motion that we</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 limit debate on each extracted issue to ten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 minutes with no more than two minutes per speaker.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 MR. LUKER: Thank you. We have a motion</td>
<td>1 MR. LUKER: Thank you. We have a motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to limit the debate to ten minutes and two minutes</td>
<td>2 to limit the debate to ten minutes and two minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 per speaker. Is there a second?</td>
<td>4 per speaker. Is there a second?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 MS. LeMASTEr: Lori LeMaster, Tennessee</td>
<td>5 MS. LeMASTEr: Lori LeMaster, Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Department of Health.</td>
<td>6 Department of Health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 I second that motion.</td>
<td>7 I second that motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 MR. LUKER: Thank you. Any further</td>
<td>8 MR. LUKER: Thank you. Any further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 discussion on this motion?</td>
<td>9 discussion on this motion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 All in favor, please say &quot;yes.&quot;</td>
<td>10 All in favor, please say &quot;yes.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (Collective yes.)</td>
<td>11 (Collective yes.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 MR. LUKER: Any opposed please say &quot;no.&quot;</td>
<td>12 MR. LUKER: Any opposed please say &quot;no.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (No audible response.)</td>
<td>13 (No audible response.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 MR. LUKER: Motion carries.</td>
<td>14 MR. LUKER: Motion carries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 We'll limit the debate to ten minutes per</td>
<td>15 We'll limit the debate to ten minutes per</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 issue and two minutes per speaker.</td>
<td>16 issue and two minutes per speaker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 At this time I'd like to turn over the</td>
<td>17 At this time I'd like to turn over the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 podium to our lead parliamentarian, Janet</td>
<td>18 podium to our lead parliamentarian, Janet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Williams.</td>
<td>19 Williams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 MS. JANET WILLIAMS: Good morning, everyone. How are you all doing? Great to be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 here. Last day. We're going to have fun.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 My name is Janet Williams. I am with the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Food and Drug Administration, and I'm working with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 the CFP this year as one of the lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 parliamentarians. And I would be remiss for not</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recognizing our other parliamentarians who did a fantastic job. We have Kimberly Destromp, Michael Antee, and Belinda Clifton. I think they deserve a round of applause.

(Appause.)

MS. WILLIAMS: I just need to report that, Mr. Chair, we do have a quorum of the delegates present. We have a total of 43.5 possible votes that can be had. That means that a majority would be represented by 22 votes, and two-thirds would be represented by 29 votes.

Any questions on that? Okay. Great.

We had our meeting yesterday to talk about the voting. So each council chair will present the council's recommendation one by one.

Each report will have two parts to their report, except for Council II, which would have three parts, which would include the Constitution and Bylaws and recommendations.

Part I of the report, as we talked about, will contain all of the affirmative votes, those recommendations that were passed in committee that were either accepted as submitted or accepted as amended. The Part II of those votes would be those votes that were recommendations from the council that were for no action.

And we will vote on the affirmative issues as a block, and then if there are any issues -- I take that back.

We will ask for any extracted issues from the Part I reports. Anything that we need to talk about in a little more depth will be pulled out. And then the chair will conduct the remaining issues as a block and will handle the extracted issues one-by-one as we move forward.

I just need to remind everyone about the voting process. On affirmative issues, a vote of "yes" means that you agree with the council's recommendations. A vote of "no" means you do not agree with the council's recommendations. And then a vote of "no" means the issue dies.

So when you vote as a block, when you vote "yes," you're agreeing to all of the recommendations from the council, or all of the issues except those that were extracted. That's why it's important if you have an issue, that you disagree with the council's recommendation. We pull that out so we don't undo the work of the entire work of the council.

Is everybody okay with that?

On the Part II report, those committee recommendations that require action, a vote of "yes," you can still extract issues from there, just like we did in Part I.

So the chair will ask for any issues to be extracted. We'll hold those to the side. Then we'll take a vote on those issues as a block as we did with Part I.

A vote of "yes" means that you agree with the council's recommendation of no action. A vote of "no" means that you disagree with the council's recommendation of no action and those issues that were extracted. A "no" vote means that those would go to the executive board for further deliberation. And there is processes and procedures in the executive bylaws that talk about what that further deliberation process includes.

Do you have any questions on that? So we will take each council one-by-one and we'll do that same process for each council.

Do we have any questions about anything? Remember when you come up to speak, you must identify yourself, who you are, and your affiliation. Be loud, be proud, be strong. Even if you're a little tired, perk it up a little bit and get there. We have a court reporter who is recording, and she needs to have that information for the record.

And I will turn this over to the council chair. At this point, I'd like to bring Council I chair, Mr. Chris Gordon, to the podium.

MR. CHRISTOPHER GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning. I'd like to start off by saying it has absolutely been an honor and privilege to serve as chair for Council I at this meeting. I have absolutely enjoyed the experience and I could not have done it without the dedication and hard work of my vice chair, Ms. Brenda Bacon, who is sitting in for Mr. Rick Barney at the last minute.

I appreciate all of her hard work and especially the work of the 20 council members as well for their deliberation and discussion as part of Council I. I would be remiss if I didn't recognize the parliamentarian, our scribes, and honor our councilmen as well.

We were tasked with deliberating a total of 47 issues. Two of our issues, I-016 and I-027, were moved to Council III. And one issue, II-025,
was received from Council II.

There were a total of two committees that were created and assigned to Council I. The importance of committee work cannot be understated, as I think you’ve heard through the conference. And I hope you’ll work with the new incoming council chair, Mr. Rick Barney, to get the work done of these two committees.

The Unattended Food Establishment Committee was reconstituted for the next biennium with three new work charges and a new committee.

The Clean-in-Place committee was created and charged with completing four action items.

As I mentioned previously, committee work is very critical not only to the council, but also CFP. I absolutely hope you consider working on those committees and then coming back to my hometown, Richmond, Virginia, in 2018 for the conference.

The first part of my report is as follows: In summary, there were 12 issues accepted as submitted, and 15 issues accepted as amended, with a total of 27 affirmative issues that came out of Council I. Those are listed in your Council I submissions.

MR. LUKER: Okay. Motion carries.

MR. CHRISTOPHER GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

For Part II of my report, there were 20 issues of which the council recommended no action. And that concludes my report.

MR. LUKER: Thank you, Chris.

Is there anyone at this time that would like to have any issues extracted from Part II of Council I’s report? Please come to the microphone.

Seeing none, I’ll entertain a motion to accept Part II of Council I’s report.

MR. MATHIS: Ric Mathis for the Florida Department of Health.

I move we accept the report Part II of Council I.

MR. LUKER: Is there a second?

MS. CRAIG: Sandra Craig, South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control. I move to second.

MR. LUKER: Is there any further discussion?

Seeing none, all in favor of accepting Part II of Council I’s report, please say "yes."

MR. LUKER: Thank you, Chris.

At this time, I’d like to ask anyone who would like to extract any issues from Part I of Council I’s report to come forward.

Seeing none, I would entertain a motion to accept Part I of Council I’s report.

MS. LeMASTER: Lori LeMaster, Tennessee Department of Health.

I move to accept Part I of Council I’s report.

MR. LUKER: Is there a second for the motion?

MR. MANDERNACH: Steve Mandernach, Iowa Department of Inspections and Appeals. I second the motion.

MR. LUKER: Motion and a second. Is there any discussion?

All in favor of accepting Part I of Council I’s report say "aye."

(Collective aye.)

MR. LUKER: Any opposed say "no."

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Is there anyone abstaining?

(No audible response.)

(Collective yes.)

MR. LUKER: Anyone opposed, please say "no."

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Is there anyone abstaining from the vote?

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Motion carries.

At this time I’d like to invite Susan Quam, chair of Council II, up to the podium.

MS. SUSAN QUAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Delegates, friends, colleagues, everyone in the room, it certainly has been an honor to serve as Council II chair for the past two years. And I’d like to extend my sincere thanks to Council II chair David Lawrence for being a great vice chair for our council, and also to our stellar parliamentarian, scribe, and runners who helped us deliberate for the last few days. Each and every one of them did an excellent job in making the deliberation of Council II a positive and very successful experience.

In Council II we have a total of 29 issues including three issues relating to CFP bylaws. These issues relating to the bylaws are
1 number II-026, II-027, and II-029. At the beginning of deliberations, we also sent issue II-025 to Council I. The issues -- excuse me. We also deliberated late-breaking issues II-029, relating to a change in CFP bylaws. Part I of my report indicates the affirmative issues, both those approved as submitted and approved as amended. We had 22 non-bylaw issues that were accepted as submitted or accepted as amended. This includes two committees that were recreated, which are the Employee Training Committee and the Demonstration of Knowledge Committee.

MR. LUKER: Thank you, Susan. At this point I'd like to ask anyone that would like to extract an issue of Part I of Council II's report step up the microphone.

MR. MANDERNACH: Steve Mandernach, Iowa Department of Inspections and Appeals, I would like to extract issue II-011. Is there anyone else that would like to step up and have any issues extracted from Part I of Council II's report? So we're going to move forward with the vote on the balance of Council II's Part I report.

1 to -- on the extracted issue, II-011.

MR. LUKER: Is there a second?

MR. SPARKS: Christopher Sparks, Texas Department of Health Services. I second the motion.

MR. LUKER: Any discussion on issue II-011?

MR. MERS: Mr. Chair, my name is Todd Mers with the Ohio Department of Agriculture. I would like to recognize Tim Weigner, Glenda Lewis, both of the FDA, and Rance Baker, of the National Environmental Health Association.

MR. LUKER: State your name and your affiliation.

MR. TIMOTHY WEIGNER: Timothy Weigner, United States Food and Drug Administration, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Office of Partnerships. And I will have some other folks coming up.

MS. GLENTA LEWIS: I'm Glenda Lewis with the FDA Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

MR. WEIGNER: In 2011, the FDA initiated a recall safety initiative as part of the preventative food safety strategy to reduce the foodborne illnesses. The FDA continues to partner with retail food industry, state, local and tribal authorities and other government agencies through this initiative. As part of this initiative, there were four planned action steps. One specifically was create and enhance local regulatory environments for retail food operations, and under this were several key steps.

FDA promoted a wide range of implementation of five state, local, and tribal regulatory programs of the FDA voluntary national retail program standards. FDA also seeks to increase multiyear funding for states 4 and 5 as part of the integrated food safety programs. And lastly to develop programs which ensure universal participation by all regulatory partners and consistent high quality training.

MS. LEWIS: FDA believes that a transparent and formal process includes all stakeholders and is effective in improving the
safety of food that is held, prepared, served at retail.

FDA supports the CFP process for engagement because it affords the main quorum for the agency and department with all stakeholders to achieve food safety at retail. CFP has provided this forum since 1998 for the retail program standards. FDA --

MR. WEIGNER: FDA and ORA and CFSAN A collaboratively together.

MS. LEWIS: I understand.

-- fully supports the retail program standards. Our commitment to them is evident in several ways. Participation on CFP program standards committee and other related committees engaged in program standards related work --

MR. LUKER: Our time is up.

MS. LEWIS: -- participation during the CFP process through multi-cooperative agreements with enrolled jurisdictions administered by partnerships. Funding opportunities for enrolled state, local, tribal and territorial jurisdictions are offered for retail association agreements.

And supporting local jurisdictions through the mentor/mentee program under a cooperative

has been done, and we look forward to the CFP continuing this work in food retail program standards. Thank you.

MR. MANDERNACH: Mr. Chair, Steve Mandernach, Iowa Department of Inspections and Appeals.

I extracted this issue -- first I want to thank the committee, the program standards committee for the hard work and all those that have been working hard on this issue for several years. It's taken about four years to get to this issue coming forward.

But I see some concerns with the way it is currently worded. As we often say, you see one regulatory program in the food world, you've seen one regulatory program. So the standards have to be written in a broad, not particularly scripted manner.

Our challenge with program standards is we have one set of opportunities to work on improving those standards, and this is one of the last pieces of that puzzle. Otherwise they're adopted.

Food Code is a little different. I can make global alterations to the Food Code to make agreement currently with nature.

Lastly, technical assistance are provided directly to enrolled jurisdictions by our retail food specialist.

MR. RANCE BAKER: I'm Rance Baker with the National Environmental Health Association. We would like to take this opportunity to congratulate the Conference on Food Protection on a successful conference. What's done here keeps people from illness or death caused by the food they expect, and have a right to expect, is safe.

Thank you.

NEHA would also like to express thanks for the excellent work that has been done over the years through the committees and conferences on both food safety and the retail program standards.

The uniqueness of the environment with local, state, federal and industry consumers and academia working collaboratively on both the standards and the important food safety issues assures we're creating documents and guidance that are drafted, influenced, challenged, and redrafted until we have superior work that can only be created in this type of environment.

NEHA is fully supportive of the work that it for my program. I can't do that with the program standards, which is why I extracted this issue.

My particular concern resides in Part III of the Items of Quality Elements list. In particular, the second sentence reads "Inform the supervisor when the establishment is not in a proper risk category or when required frequency is not met."

I don't know what that requires.

Immediately upon adoption of these standards, I'd be sending a letter to the clearinghouse asking for additional information. I don't know about a lot of you, but that's not something I really need. I have an electronic inspection system. I have that information available. I can search for it.

But the way this is written, in my previous audit experience, I would expect that I would have to show notes or something that come affirmative before that. Maybe that's not what the clearinghouse will say, but if I have a question immediately, that means there might be questions on this section of the standard.

Further, there was not, from what I can
find in the 2012 forum, doesn't appear that it
came out of that work. The broader item was, but
not a little more specific.
The last thing I would like to notice is
currently -- I would also have a question as to
whether double indemnity would apply against this
standard and Standard 8 if you don't have adequate
resources.
With those two issues forward, I think
there is no harm in having the program standards
committee continue working with their general
charge in the bylaws. They could continue
working.
The current shares indicated that would
be their intention. I think that would be a fair
process rather than putting this in the standards
and having six years before we really get back to
it.

MR. MORIS: Steve Moris, Kansas
Department of Agriculture. Because I also wanted
to actually speak of Part III. We spend -- either
state or local programs, they spend countless
hours training each and every inspector. We
actually trust them to just, like, to actually
perform inspections. And they have to give

happened. So we asserted the language dealing
with the notification to the supervisor.
Obviously there are many ways to verify
that, whether it's email, maybe you have an
electronic system that does it automatically.
There are many ways to do that.
The second piece that I'd like to point
out in thinking about the process, there have been
five formal points in the last six years where no
one has availed themselves of the opportunity to
provide a feedback. The pilot project in 2011,
the feedback and recommendations were vetted by
the worker. Those recommendations were then
vetted by the council in 2012. Those
recommendations are then taken to the FDA where we
look at them. They were, again, vetted by the
Department of Standards Committee between 2014 and
2016. And then they were vetted again at this
council where they received. I think, fair amount
of support.
So thank you for your comment. I think
there has been a substantial opportunity to
provide feedback on this prior to today.

MR. PATRICK GUZZLE: Patrick Guzzle,
Idaho Division of Public Health.

I'd like to remind all of my fellow
delegates about three things regarding the program
standards that we're discussing in this room right
now.
Number 1, unlike the manufacturer
standards, the voluntary standards are exactly
that. They're voluntary. They serve as a
guideline.
Number 2, as Steven Hughes just
indicated, this process has been vetted several
times through the committee as well as through the
council process.
Number 3, I believe I'm confident in
speaking for the members of the clearinghouse -- I
am a member of the clearinghouse. If there is an
issue that needs to be clarified through the
clearinghouse, we would welcome that submission to
discuss it for further deliberation. Thank you.

MR. CHRISTOPHER SPARKS: Christopher
Sparks, Texas Department of State Health Services.
We feel that this particular standard, as
written, adds value to the program and support it
going forward. Being descriptive about one
portion of what's written, I don't believe, should
hold back what has been developed here.
1. And as they say, it has been vetted through so many different avenues, and holding back this progress through something that is not prescriptive as how it can be done, it may take away from the value of the entire document. Thank you.
2. MR. LUKER: Okay. Seeing no further discussion, we have a motion on the table to accept the extracted issue, Part I of Council II's report.
3. MR. GUZZLE: Idaho point of clarification. Mr. Chair, if we vote "yes," what exactly are we saying yes to?
4. MS. WILLIAMS: If you vote "yes," you are accepting the council's recommendation as it was submitted.
5. MR. GUZZLE: Thank you, Madam Parliamentarian.
6. MR. LUKER: Any further discussion? All in favor of accepting the issue as part of the council's recommendation for Part I, Council II, please say "yes."
7. (Collective yes.)
8. MR. LUKER: All opposed please say "no."
9. (Collective no.)

---

1. MR. GUZZLE: Patrick Guzzle, Idaho Division of Public Health.
2. Second.
3. MR. LUKER: Is there any discussion on Part II of Council II's report?
4. Seeing none, all in favor of accepting Part II of Council II's report say "aye."
5. (Collective aye.)
6. MR. LUKER: Any opposed, say "no."
7. (No audible response.)
8. MR. LUKER: Any abstaining?
9. (No audible response.)
10. MR. LUKER: Motion carries. Part III.
11. MS. SUSAN QUAM: Part III of my report deals with the constitution and bylaws, issues II-026, II-027, and II-029. A total of three issues were accepted by Council II as changes to the CFP bylaws.
12. MR. LUKER: Is there anyone interested in extracting issues from Part III of Council II of the report?
14. MR. RIC MATHIS: Mr. Chair, Ric Mathis, Florida Department of Health.
15. I move the acceptance of Part III of Council II's report.
16. MR. LUKER: Is there a second?
17. MR. GUZZLE: Patrick Guzzle, Idaho Division of Public Health.
19. MR. LUKER: Any discussion?
20. All in favor of accepting Part III of Council II's report say "aye."
21. (Collective aye.)
22. MR. LUKER: Any opposed, say "no."
23. (No audible response.)
24. MR. LUKER: Are there any abstaining?
25. (No audible response.)
26. MR. LUKER: Motion carries.
27. At this time, I'd like to introduce the chair of Council III, Todd Rossow.
28. MR. TODD ROSSOW: Thank you.
29. Good morning, everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to serve the CFP and the -- and to act as the chair for Council III for the past two years. I'd like to recognize and thank many of those who have helped over the last couple of years.
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First, my vice-chair, Davene Sarrocco-Smith. She's done a fantastic job of helping out and has actually provided a little bit of humor during the process. So I thank you for that.

To my council members, you did a great job preparing for the involvement in a very passionate discussion over the last three days. I appreciate all their efforts, and also appreciate the dedicated work of the Council III scribe, parliamentarian, and our runners. They did a great job.

At the start of Council III, we had 41 issues. During the deliberations, our council received two issues from Council I, Issue I-016 and Issue I-027, making a total of 43 issues.

For the first part of my -- of this report, Council III has recommended the acceptance of 24 issues. 12 as submitted, 12 as amended, as received in a packet with the acceptance of issues. Our council has recommended the formation of three committees, which are III-026, chemical treatment of water used to wash or crisp raw fruits and vegetables; III-034, reducing the need for HASLIP plans under III-502.12; and III-037, creation of a mail order food safety committee.

This is the end of my first part.

MR. LUKER: Thank you very much.

At this time I would like to know if anyone would like to extract any issues on Part I of Council III's report.

MR. TIM ANDERSON: Tim Anderson, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture. I'd like to extract Council III issue 038.

MR. LUKER: We have extracted Council III, Part I, issue 038.

Any other extractions for Council III, Part I?

I will entertain a motion to accept Part I of Council III's report minus the extracted issue 038 --

MS. SANDRA CRAIG: Sandra Craig, South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control.

I'd like to make a motion that we accept Part I without the extraction.

MR. RICK AKIN: Rick Akin, Florida Department of Business Professional Regulation.

I second.

MR. LUKER: We have a motion and second to accept Part I of Council III's report minus issue 038.

Any discussion?

All in favor, say "aye."

(Collective aye.)

MR. LUKER: Any opposed, say "no."

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Any abstaining?

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Motion carries.

Now, is there a motion to accept the extracted issue from Part I of Council III's report, 038.

MS. LeMASTER: Lori LeMaster, Tennessee Department of Health.

I move to accept issue III-038 for discussion.

MR. LUKER: Is there a second?

MS. KRISTA CLICK: Krista Click, Indiana State Department of Health.

I'd like to second that motion.

MR. LUKER: Okay. We have a motion and second to accept Issue 038 of Council III's Part I report.

Any discussions, please come to the microphone.

MR. JAMES KAPLANEK: James Kaplanek, Wisconsin Department of Health Services.

I wanted to yield my time to Peter Hayes from the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture and to Rodney Blanchard from the state of Michigan.

MR. PETER HAYES: Mr. Chairman, I am standing before the delegates this morning to express my disagreement with the council's recommendation for issue III-038.

I do not agree with the recommendation as this does not give the regulatory agencies the ability to verify the strength of the premix bottle that may have been diluted. Not all of the bottles at this time come with an unopenable enclosure, so it is possible for the main bottle of this premix sanitizing solution to be opened and have initial liquids added to it. These bottles are also used to fill spray bottles, which will be diluted as well.

And part of your work is to verify that sanitizing solutions are of a strength that's -- that will sanitize any surface that it is applied to.

MR. LUKER: Sir, could you identify...
MR. HAYES: I’m sorry. Peter Hayes, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture. Thank you.

MR. RODNEY BLANCHARD: Rodney Blanchard, Michigan Department of Agriculture, proudly serving the people of Michigan.

I received the following email two weeks ago from one of our local health departments. A company has created a new food service surface sanitizer that is labeled effective against norovirus. It says it is formulated for food contact surfaces. The active ingredient is Ethenol, 29.4 percent. It is EPA registered. The product is not yet on the market. They are testing it in food establishments prior to distribution in the fall.

The product is premixed, however, they will have a larger container to refill the spray bottles.

It is a clear product, looks like water, and the manufacturer has confirmed that no test strips are currently available to check the concentration of Ethenol.

My question to you delegates is if test strips are not required for premix sanitizers, or

---

All those in favor of the acceptance of issue 038 into Council III's Part I report please say "aye."

(Collective yes.)

MR.  LUKER: All those opposed say "no."

(Collective no.)

MR.  LUKER: Any abstaining?

(No audible response.)

MR.  LUKER: Motion does not carry.

Part II of Council III's report, please.

MR. ROSSOW: For the second part of our report for Council III, council has recommended taking no action on 19 issues in the report.

That concludes my report.

MR.  LUKER: At this point in time is there anybody who is looking to extract an issue from Part II of Council III's report? Please step up to the microphone.

Seeing none, I'll extend a motion to accept Part II of Council III's report.

MR. MATHIS: Ric Mathis, Florida Department of Health. Motion to accept Part II of Council III's report.

MR.  LUKER: Is there a second?

MR. GUZZLE: Patrick Guzzle, Idaho

---

in this case not even available for a new product, how are we, as regulators, going to know that the product the food establishment is using is the original premixed product and has not been altered, diluted, or replaced either intentionally or unintentionally? How will the person in charge, who will be a certified food manager going forward, be able to meet the requirements of the person in charge that are in subparts 2102 and 2103? And, finally, how will the industry, mainly the small business owners, be able to confirm they are receiving the product they are paying for?

Thank you.

MR.  LUKER: Thank you.

Any further discussion?

We have a motion on the table and a second to accept issue 038 from Part I of Council III's report.

All in favor of --

MS. LeMASTER: Point of order -- Lori LeMaster, Tennessee Department of Health.

A vote of "aye" is in favor of the council's recommendation, correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.

MR.  LUKER: Thank you.

Division of Public Health.

Second.

MR.  LUKER: Any discussion on Part II of Council III's report?

Seeing none, all in favor of accepting Part II of Council III's report, please say "aye."

(Collective aye.)

MR.  LUKER: Any opposed, say "no."

(No audible response.)

MR.  LUKER: Anyone abstaining?

(No audible response.)

MR.  LUKER: Motion carries.

At this point in time, I'd like to recognize Mr. Terry Levee, who will be reporting on behalf of Michael Roberson, Chair of the Resolutions Committee for this year's biennial conference.

MR. TERRY LEEVE: First off, I would like to thank everybody for being here this morning and staying through the end of the conference. It's always nice to know that you're the last person speaking and it's only you that stands in the way of everybody going to the airport.

But unfortunately, Mr. Roberson has left me with six pages of things to read, so it may not

---
be quite as quick as you would like it. But as I said, I certainly would like to thank you for staying to the end.

As I read through the resolutions -- and I'll try to read them to stay on script -- if you are receiving a resolution, Aggie Hale, which is over to my right at the end, will be handing out those resolutions.

First off, I would like to recognize the resolution committee which consisted of Michael Roberson, chair; Liza Frias; Susan Vaughn Grooters; Lori LeMaster; and Gina Nicholson-Kramer.

First off, we would like to thank you for your participation. This is really not as easy of a task as it may seem. What you need to do is think about all the things that go into making a CFP possible and figuring out how to thank them for all the work they've done. It really is not an easy task.

The first proposed resolution that the resolution committee would like to make would be to the local arrangements committee. The local arrangements committee consisted of Jodi Callister with WinCo Foods, Patrick Guzzle with the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Troy Darmody with Albertsons, Steve Lacy with Fred Meyer, Pam Eaten with the Idaho Retailers Association, and Lisa Edens with the Boise Convention Center.

If you're here, could you please stand and be recognized. And Jodi, would you please go and get the acceptance for the resolution.

(Applause.)

MR. LUKER: They're still working apparently. They never quit working. That's good.

MR. LEVEE: Thank you. The local arrangements committee, I think we can all agree, they have done a wonderful job. And this has to be one of the prettiest places that I can say I have personally ever been to. We certainly want to make sure on our way out that we thank them.

And to the group from Richmond where we will be in two years, you have a hard, hard bar to beat. Challenge is on.

Next I would like to recognize Judy Burns, the event manager for the Boise Centre. She's been fantastic to work with. She's done an excellent job of planning and implementing everything that we've asked. We would certainly like to recognize her for her support, the reactions that she's done so quickly, and everything that goes with it.

With that I'd like to give Judy Burns, the event manager, a round of applause.

(Applause.)

MR. LEVEE: Is the staff from The Grove here? Okay.

Next I would like to recognize Karen Pena from Conference Direct. Conference Direct is the agency that we work with to have everything planned, have your registration planned, to help us pick the site, the location, the hotels, and so forth. Karen has done in this for the last three biennials. She's done a great job. She has also managed the overall cost to keep things going, keep things running, and certainly helping the executive board and executive staff put on the CFP.

We would certainly like to recognize Karen Pena, conference director.

(Applause.)

MR. LEVEE: Although numerous times we have recognized the 52 sponsors for the CFP biennial, we would like to recognize them one more time. They were given recognition at the industry conference yesterday, however, if you're here, we would like you to please stand up again because we had another banner year with donations. Donations are what make CFP go round, so I'd certainly like to recognize them one last time.

Those titanium sponsors that we had, Ahold USA, Albertsons, Chemstar, Darden Restaurants, Ecolab, Food Marketing Institute and SafeMark, Fred Meyer, National Registry of Food Safety Professionals, the National Restaurant Association, NSF International, Publix Supermarkets, Sealed Air/Diversey Care, Walmart, WinCo Foods, and Yum Brands. Those are our titanium sponsors. Thank you for everything that you've done.

(Applause.)

MR. LEVEE: Next level will be our gold sponsors. Again as I read, please stand and be recognized.

Aramark, the Association of Food and Drug Officials, Boar's Head, CKE Restaurants, the Compass Group, Delhaize America, Giant Eagle, Harris Teeter, HEB, IAP, Jetro/Restaurant Depot Restaurant, Meijer, NAMA, Procter & Gamble, Rollins/Orkin, Sodexo, and Wegmans Food Market. Again,
1 thank you for your gold sponsorship. We really appreciate it.

MR. LEVEE: Next we would like to recognize our silver sponsors. Better Seafood Board, Bloomin' Brands, the Florida Restaurant and Lodging Association, Kroger, Paster Training, Remco Products Incorporated, Savour Food Safety International, Sensitech, SmartWash Solutions, Steritech, Underwriters Laboratory, Wakefern Food Corporation, and Wawa. Thank you for your silver sponsorship.

MR. LEVEE: And last but certainly by no means least, our bronze sponsors. Above Training Incorporated, Chobani, International Packaged Ice Association, ParTech Incorporated, ReposiTrak/Park City Group, Southeastern Grocers, and the Cheesecake Factory. Thank you.

MR. LEVEE: I also would like to recognize at this time all the hard work and effort that the sponsorship committee did put forth. It's generally a two-year cycle to be asking to raise money, so certainly we appreciate

1 as FDA's representative to the executive board for the last eight years. Kevin has kept the board on task. He's efficiently and effectively managed our work, and he's also worked with the other counterparts from the FDA and the other agencies to keep us on task and make sure we were doing the right thing for CFP and the food code. He has strategic -- he has very strategic thinking and vision, and he certainly has been an asset to the strategic planning committee and everything that we've asked him to do.

Kevin, I hope you're in the room. If you are, please stand and be recognized.

MR. LUKER: Finally, the next two individuals that I would like to recognize are completing their second successive six-year term on the executive board. For many of you who don't think 12 years is a long time, being on the executive board for 12 years is a long, grueling process. These two individuals have done an outstanding job of supporting the issues, and giving great and timely discussion when we need it.

First off, I would like to recognize Lorna Girard and Cassandra Mitchell for their dedication and service. And we'd like to have them stand and be recognized.

MR. LEVEE: Next I would like to offer a resolution to recognize our two issue chairs, Vicki Everly and Cassandra Mitchell. For those of you who don't know them or don't know about the issues chair, it is one of the most underappreciated roles in the conference. They have a very short window to get all the issues put together, reviewed, assigned to council, and then help the councils decide how to continue to deliberate. They play a very significant role in how that is done. And in more ways than one, each year it tends to get more complicated.

So we would certainly like to thank both -- and please recognize them by standing -- for all their hard work for these past two years.

MR. LEVEE: Now, the next resolution I am going to read in full, so please bear with me. I do apologize if I mispronounce any names. However, let me get my notes here.

This resolution is for those public...
health officials who were injured or lost their lives during the incident on December 2nd, 2015. So I'd like to, if you don't mind, read the resolution in its entirety.

Resolution of special recognition.

Whereas food safety professionals are part of the greater community of public health, we share a common responsibility for protecting the well-being and safety of those who served. And whereas when disaster strikes, those in our profession are affected by pain, suffering, and loss, we're obligated to pay homage to our colleagues who are no longer with us. Whereas the Conference of Food Protection wishes to recognize the food safety professionals who lost their lives in 2015 following a senseless act of terrorism in San Bernardino, California, including the following: Michael Raymond Wetzel, a 37-year-old father of six who supervised a team of health inspectors with the San Bernardino County Division of Environmental Health Services.

Nicolas Thalasinos, a 52-year-old long-time inspector with the County of San Bernardino Division of Health Services.

Damian Meins, a 58-year-old father of two

Food Protection offers a moment of silence and our deepest sympathies these for their families, friends, and coworkers, this day, April 20th, 2016, as a convergence of resolution of appreciation. And be it further resolved that a copy of this resolution will be sent to the Health Officer and Director of the San Bernardino County Department of Public Health and published in the proceedings at the 2016 Conference for Food Protection.

Please join me in a moment of silence.

(Moment of silence observed.)

MR. LEVEE: Thank you. Dave, is our staff or Trudy here?

Next I would like to propose a resolution to thank Dr. Jay Neal, who served as the program chair for the 2016 biennial meeting. Dr. Neal and the other members of the program committee provided an excellent cadre of speakers. Those of us who attended will remember it was a very, very well-planned and thought-out workshop.

Dr. Neal if you're here, please stand and be recognized.

(Appause.)

MR. LEVEE: We're getting close, guys. Next we would like to recognize the staff.

who recently came out of retirement in September of 2015 to work for the County of San Bernardino, Division of Environmental Services.

Sierra Clayborn, a 27-year-old inspector with the County of San Bernardino, Division of Environmental Services.

Harry Bowman, a 46-year-old father of two daughters who was a statistical analyst for the County of San Bernardino, Division of Environmental Services.

Robert Adams, a 40-year-old husband and father to his 20-month-old baby, who was a specialist with the County of San Bernardino, Division of Health Services.

Tin Nguyen, a 31-year-old food safety inspector with the County of San Bernardino, Division of Health Services.

Juan Espinoza, a 50-year-old husband, father, and inspector with the County of San Bernardino, Division of Environmental Services.

Whereas these individuals were committed to ensuring public health in our community through their food safety activities, but those whose lives were unexpectedly lost, but not forgotten; therefore be it resolved that the Conference of
MR. LEVEE: Second Holly.

(Appause.)

MR. LEVEE: Michael.

(Appause.)

MR. LEVEE: And Steve.

(Appause.)

MR. LUKER: And the gentleman that I must have missed whose name wasn't on my resolution.

Again, thank you so much. We have had a wonderful time here in Boise, and you have done an outstanding job.

(Appause.)

MR. LEVEE: Now I'd like to defer the podium to John.

MR. LUKER: Thank you, Terry.

Continuing on with our resolutions, our next resolution is to recognize our conference vice chair, Terry Levee with Giant Eagle Supermarkets.

In addition to assisting the chair with managing board meetings, a key role is to work with the leadership of our councils, council committees, and standing committees. Terry has done an outstanding job in all of these areas, also helped lead the search for a new executive treasurer, performed admirably in all of these duties.

Terry, thank you for your leadership and support in the conference. Please stand so we can thank you and recognize you and thank you for your support.

(Appause.)

MR. LUKER: Now I'll turn the podium over to Terry one more time.

MR. LEVEE: You see where this is going, right?

First off, I would like to thank you for allowing me to be your conference vice chair for the last two years. It truly has been a wonderful experience. I feel blessed to have served each and every one of you. I hope I did it admirably. I hope I did it with passion. And again, I would sort of like to thank you for that opportunity that you gave me.

I would also like to thank the people who gave me six wonderful years of doing this. It does tend to become long and tedious, but thank you for that.

Over the last two years, one thing I can safely say that I've learned is John Luker is a wonderful person. He's a wonderful man. He's a great strategist, a great thinker, and above all, a great leader.

For those of you who don't know what it takes to be the conference chair, it's a lot of long hours and a lot of work before you go to work to do your job and a lot of work after you come home from your job. There were many nights, three to four nights a week, that John and I and Dr. McSwane and the rest of the board would be on emails at 10, 11, 12:00 at night, 4, 5, 6:00 in the morning. It is a thankless job, and John has provided us leadership and a lot of wisdom.

And I would like to recognize him in front of each and every one of us and thank him for the wonderful job he's done.

(Appause.)

MR. LEVEE: With that I'm done with my part, I believe, so I'm going to turn it back over to John.

MR. LUKER: Thank you very much, everyone.

It has been a fantastic experience, and I don't know what I would have done without the help of Terry and the rest of the executive team, and the executive board for that matter.

At this point I would like to entertain a motion to accept the resolutions as presented by Terry.

MS. LeMASTER: Lori LeMaster, Tennessee Department of Health.

I move to accept the resolutions.

MR. MATHIS: Ric Mathis, Florida Department of Health.

I second the motion.

MR. LUKER: Is there any discussion on that?

Seeing none, all in favor, say "aye."

(Collective aye.)

MR. LUKER: Any opposed say, "no."

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Anybody abstaining?

(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Okay. Thank you. The motion passes.

Now, it's customary for the assembly to offer a motion to allow the executive board to make editorial changes to the issues that were submitted or to the transcript or any other issues that might need to be changed editorially, but not
substantively.
At this time, we'd entertain a motion to
that effect.

MR. GUZZLE: Patrick Guzzle, Idaho
Division of Public Health.
I make the motion to allow the board to
make nonsubstantive, editorial changes to the
minutes of the proceedings.

MR. LUKER: And a second.

MS. CLICK: Krista Click, Indiana State
Department of Health.
I'd like to second.

MR. LUKER: Motion and a second.
All those in favor of allowing the board
to make editorial changes, please say "aye."
(Collective aye.)

MR. LUKER: Any opposed, please say "no."
(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Anyone abstaining?
(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Motion passes.
At this point in time, it's my pleasure
to introduce to you your new next conference chair
and vice chair.

I will say that the experience has been
delightful and enlightening. It's been rewarding
from a professional standpoint, and it's
unbelievable how much you learn about what goes on
behind the scenes, as we've talked about.
I'd like to introduce Patrick Guzzle as
the new conference chair and Ken Rosen as the new
conference vice chair.
Patrick, please stand up.

(Appause.)

MR. LUKER: Real quickly I wanted to
remind you that there will be committee sign-up
emails to go out. Pay attention to your emails
and your notifications from the conference.
That's how these things will occur. And we
courage everyone to apply for the committees.
If you're applying for chair of the committee,
please let that be known as well. At.
At this point, unless we have any other
business to discuss, I will entertain a motion to
adjourn the 2016 Conference for Food Protection.

MS. CRAIG: Sandra Craig, South Carolina
Department of Health and Environmental Control.
I make a motion that we adjourn the
Conference for Food Protection.

---

MR. MERS: Todd Mers, Ohio Department of
Agriculture.
I second that.

MR. LUKER: Thank you.
Any discussion on that?
(No audible response.)

MR. LUKER: Seeing none, all those in
favor say "yes."
(Collective yes.)

MR. LUKER: Any opposed, say "no."
(One no voiced.)

MR. LUKER: You may stay.
Motion passes.
Safe travels home, everyone.
(Whereupon the proceeding concluded at 9:59 a.m.)
****

---
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