

PWWC Conference Call Notes

9/25/2018

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amada Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Karl Matthews, Jennifer Nord, Hilary Thesmar
- At-Large Members:
Betsy Craig, Todd Geller, Chip Manuel, Carol McInnes, B.J. Mikeska, Jaymin Patel, Travis Patton, Nela Romo, Todd Rossow, Matthew Walker, Richard Willis, Woo Jin (Joey) Yoo

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

Notes:

- 2018-2020 PWWC is continuation from 2016-2018 committee work
- Keith Jackson and Christine Applewhite are board representatives overlooking committee
- Committee charges from 2018 Biennium were reviewed
- Roll Call: Tom McMahan (excused), Chris Peasley (excused), and Kris Zetterlund (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna Starobin discussed the Antitrust Statement; this statement is to be read by all committee members and acknowledged, via email.
- Conference call frequency will be scheduled monthly; the frequency may be changed if needed.
- Attendance rules for the conference call were discussed; per an email sent by Keith Jackson, "The general guideline is that "excused" absences are acceptable, which means the committee Chair and Vice Chair receive notice of the absence. Two unexcused calls then requires for a counseling session, which I can do, and a third means they can no longer hold a voting position." Please notify both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) beforehand, if unable to be present for a scheduled conference call in order for absence to be excused.
- As a data storage platform for the committee, it was decided that Google Docs will be used, as it is user-friendly and the majority of members have access to said platform. Member Betsy Craig noted that she can assist in setting-up the platform. When using Google Docs, be sure to use Google Chrome browser in order to avoid any compatibility issues.
- Jill Hollingsworth noted that based on the survey results which were collected, it seems like produce washing/crisping practices for the retail and restaurant sectors are not the same. She proposed to work on them separately. It was decided that process flow diagrams for produce washing and crisping will be created for two sectors individually and later merged together, since both are governed by the same set of regulations. Hilary Thesmar, working together with several retailers will draft a diagram for the retail sector. Committee members that are involved in the restaurant industry will be recruited and draft a diagram for the restaurant sector. These diagrams will be presented at the next Conference Call, to determine if there is a significant difference between washing and crisping in the retail sector versus the restaurant sector. These diagrams will also be used as a

PWWC Conference Call Notes

9/25/2018

foundation to create the produce washing and crisping guidance document requested for the 2020 Biennium. With this “framework” in place, sub-committees will be formed at the next conference call.

- All the supporting documents generated during the committee work were emailed to the members to inform them on the outcome and recommendations of the 2016-2018 committee work.

Action Items:

- Jaime Hernandez will email Keith Jackson regarding being unable to record the conference calls.
- All members must read the Antitrust Statement that Anna Starobin sent via email and acknowledge that they read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov)
- Anna Starobin will send an email to all members in the following weeks to set a date and time for the next conference call.
- Betsy Craig will assist both Chair and Vice Chair in setting-up the Google Docs data storage platform; all members should verify that they are able to access Google Docs, and to email both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if they encounter any issues
- Hilary Thesmar, along with any other interested members will create the produce washing and crisping process flow diagram for the retail sector, to be presented at the next conference call
- Committee members that are involved in the restaurant industry along with any other interested members will be recruited and create the produce washing and crisping process flow diagram for the restaurant sector, to be presented at the next conference call



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
10/23/2018

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Jason Dickhaut, Amada Garvin, Erich Hess, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Jennifer Nord, Hilary Thesmar, Chris Peasley, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Betsy Craig, Carol McInnes, Kathleen O'Donnell, Travis Patton, Matthew Reighter, Nela Romo, Todd Rossow, Matthew Walker, Woo Jin (Joey) Yoo

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYS3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Deanna Copeland (excused), Jill Hollingsworth (excused), Barbara Ingham (excused) and Karl Matthews (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna Starobin presented a summary of 2016-2018 committee work
 - The presentation is saved in GoogleDrive. Please use the link above to access the PWWC folder within the drive. Once in the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive, the path for the presentation slides is as follows: **'16-'18 Committee Work > CFP Produce Committee 2016-2018 Summary.pdf**
- Jaime Hernandez discussed accessing the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive. With committee member Betsy Craig as lead, the GoogleDrive was created last week as a storage platform for all documents pertaining to our committee. Additionally, it was determined that the GoogleDrive could be accessed through clicking a link by anyone in the committee without the need to create and/or log into a Google account. Per committee members on the call, there were no issues in accessing the PWWC folder through using the link.
- A table for detailing steps for produce washing was created by Anna Starobin and Jaime Hernandez. This table is a consolidation of produce washing steps, from both the retail and restaurant sectors, that several committee members sent to the chair and vice chair within the last couple of weeks. During the call, the table was discussed with the committee members, and edited, in real time, by both Anna and Jaime. The path for the table within the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive is as follows: **'18-'20 PWWC Docs > Committee Charge > a. Guideline > Retail Produce Washing Steps**

PWWC Conference Call Notes
10/23/2018

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov)
- Anna Starobin will send an email to all members in the following weeks to set a date and time for the next conference call. Per an emailed suggestion by committee member Amada Garvin, an email with a poll for different days/times will be sent to determine what hours/days are best for the majority of committee members.
- Since the produce washing procedures table was not reviewed in its entirety (due to time constraints), please review the table. If you have any comments for the table, please download the document from the GoogleDrive, as a word document, comment, and email a copy of the commented table to both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov). As noted earlier, the path for the table within the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive is as follows: '18-'20 PWWC Docs > Committee Charge > a. Guideline > Retail Produce Washing Steps
- Committee members that have not already done so, are encouraged to email both Anna Starobin (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime Hernandez (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) SOPs / instructions for produce washing and produce crisping used by your organization.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
11/26/2018

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amada Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Karl Matthews, Jennifer Nord, Hilary Thesmar, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Todd Geller, Chip Manuel, Carol McInnes, B.J. Mikeska, Kathleen O'Donnell, Matthew Reighter, Nela Romo, Matthew Walker, Thomas Woodbury

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYS3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Chris Peasley (excused) was a voting member that was absent from the call.
- The Retail Produce Washing Steps table that was created last month was discussed with the committee members, and edited, in real time. The path for the table within the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive is as follows: '18-'20 PWWC Docs > Committee Charge > a. Guideline > *Updated* Retail Produce Washing Steps (Just in case, attached to the conference call notes email is a Word document of the table)

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov); currently, only eight (8) committee members have read and acknowledged the statement via email.
- Review the Retail Produce Washing Steps table, specifically the comments/questions in *red italics*, and populate with references and comments that are scientifically supported. Please provide comments in the Word document and email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- From the poll Anna sent via email, the **next conference call will occur on Monday, December 17, 2018, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST**. Jaime will be sending a calendar invite.
- Provide scientifically-based comments with references on any time limitations for produce washing, especially if produce is washed by submerging in water. Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) with this information.

PWWC Conference Call Notes

11/26/2018

- Since crisping will be discussed in the next conference call, please review the crisping definition from 2016-2018 committee work and email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) with any comments. The crisping definition (CFP PWWC 2016-2018) is included in the Retail Produce Washing Steps table.
- Contact organizations outside of the committee (Ex. FMI, Nat'l Restaurant Assoc., Nat'l Produce Assoc., FDA, etc.) in order for them to provide their input on the following question (**If crisping produce is accomplished by submersion, is washing the produce necessary beforehand?**). Carbon Copy both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) on these emails.
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in being a member of the subgroup for subcharge 1c (Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping).



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
12/17/2018

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Dianna Karlicek, Karl Matthews, Jennifer Nord, Hilary Thesmar, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Betsy Craig, Chip Manuel, Carol McInnes, Kathleen O'Donnell, Matthew Reighter, Todd Rossow, Chuck Seaman, Matthew Walker, Woo Jin Yoo

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYS3eZ_5WXlcbslm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Deanna Copeland (excused), Jason Dickhaut (excused), Amanda Garvin (excused), Josh Jordan (unexcused), Tom McMahan (unexcused), Chris Peasley (unexcused), Kris Zetterlund (unexcused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Laurie Williams, the FDA consultant for this committee, provided FDA feedback on crisping. She mentioned that since there is no current FDA definition for crisping, it is important to distinguish between produce washing and produce crisping, as defined in our committee charge. She also mentioned that the FDA has recently created a guide to minimize food safety hazards in fresh-cut produce for industry. This guide includes parameters for produce washing, involving soaking and submerging, which we can reference for our guidance document.

<https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocumentsRegulatoryInformation/UCM623718.pdf>

Laurie stated that Food Code does not have any details on the process of produce washing, while the Annex of the code advises against produce soaking, due to potential infiltration issues. Hillary Thesmar commented on the fact that the guide document addresses produce for the fresh cut industry, while the FDA Food Code covers produce washed at retail establishments. Laurie agreed with the comment, but stated that some information from the new guide could be useful.

- Crisping methods were discussed with the committee members, and edited, in real time in the table. Currently, four (4) crisping methods were discussed and noted in the table. These methods include:
 - A. Prechilled produce placed in warm water, and placed in a clean container into a cooler

PWWC Conference Call Notes

12/17/2018

- B. Submerging pre-washed produce in cold water; ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature
- C. Submerging unwashed produce in cold water with antimicrobial; ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature
- D. Holding produce under cold, running water for a time sufficient for rehydration

Misting was discussed as a potential crisping option, however, it was decided that misting is to **maintain** hydration of the produce (prevent dehydration/browning) and that soaking is not involved in the misting process, a “single-pass spray system” with potable water is being used. The path for the table within the PWWC folder in GoogleDrive is as follows: **18-'20 PWWC Docs > Committee Charge > a. Guideline > *Updated* Retail Produce Washing Steps** (Just in case, attached to the conference call notes email is a Word document of the table)

- Creation of a subgroup for subcharge 1c: “Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping” was discussed. Committee members interested in working this subgroup include Janet Buffer, Jill Hollingsworth, Todd Rossow, Anna Starobin, and Jaime Hernandez.
- Jill Hollingsworth volunteered to begin drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document. Committee members Erich Hess, Todd Rossow, Anna Starobin, and Jaime Hernandez are interested in assisting Jill.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov); currently, only ten (10) committee members have read and acknowledged the statement via email.
- Laurie Williams provided a link (<https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocumentsRegulatoryInformation/UCM623718.pdf>) to view a recently created FDA guide to minimize food safety hazards in fresh-cut produce for industry. Please take the time to read this guide, as it can be a great reference document for when we write-up our produce washing and crisping guideline.
- Review the four (4) crisping methods in the table. Please provide comments regarding the 4 methods, provide any other crisping processes not listed, and email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) so that our table can be updated.
- The **next conference call will occur on Monday, January 28, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST**. A calendar invite for this call will be sent shortly.
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in being a member of the subgroup for subcharge 1c (Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping).
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in aiding Jill Hollingsworth in drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
1/28/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Karl Matthews, Jennifer Nord, Todd Rossow, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Carol McInnes, B.J. Mikeska, Kathleen O'Donnell, Matthew Reighter, Nela Romo, Chuck Seaman, Matthew Walker, Woo Jin Yoo
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon, Laurie Williams

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXIcbslm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Jason Dickhaut (excused), Hilary Thesmar (unexcused), Chris Peasley (unexcused), Kris Zetterlund (unexcused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna and Jaime recently completed the CFP Spring Progress Report for this committee. The report was submitted to both Keith Jackson and Christine Applewhite for review. Keith verified that he had received the report and will review said report this week. If any committee members are interested in viewing the progress report, please email Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and they will send the report after it is approved by Keith and Christine.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed progress made in the Draft Guideline subcommittee working group. Other members of this group include Amanda Garvin, Jaime Hernandez, Erich Hess, Todd Rossow, and Anna Starobin. Communication within this subgroup has been accomplished through emails and calls. Jill mentioned that an outline of the guidance document has been made. Sections within this document include an Introduction, Definitions, FDA Food Code References, Prerequisites, and detailed sections on the procedures of Produce Washing and Produce Crisping; Washing and Crisping are split into separate sections because the procedures for each process serve different purposes. For the Washing and Crisping sections, different procedures are stated for each process, including manners to mitigate the potential risks that are inherent for each procedure. In other words, these sections will have a significant emphasis on risk analysis, which will include a table of all procedures for each process, with an accompanying "decision tree" for each process.

PWWC Conference Call Notes

1/28/2019

- Crisping methods were discussed with the committee members, and edited, in real time in the table. Jill mentioned that in some cases, submersion in cold water and crisping are performed without prewashing produce. This generated a discussion of the permissibility of crisping without prewashing, as this method has potential infiltration implications. Erich Hess mentioned that infiltration is temperature-dependent; produce has to maintain cold throughout the chain to minimize infiltration. Dr. Karl Matthews, stated that infiltration rates are commodity-specific; cut produce has a higher propensity for infiltration than uncut produce. He stated that in a limited research his group has done, the water uptake measured ranged from 5-15%. No micro work was done in this testing.
- Anna and Jill are contacting an academia expert to talk with the group on crisping, water uptake research.
- Laurie Williams asked if leafy greens are the only produce, we are covering. Anna responded that crisping applies to all produce, but most often used for leafy greens.
- Crisping methods were further discussed and the table was updated as listed below:
 - (A1)Prechilled produce placed in warm water, and placed in a clean container into a cooler
 - (A2)Prechilled produce placed in warm water with antimicrobial, and placed in a clean container into a cooler
 - (B1)Submerging pre-washed produce in cold water, ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature.
 - (B2)Submerging pre-washed produce in cold water with a/m, ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature.
 - (C1)Submerging unwashed produce in cold water with antimicrobial, ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature.
 - (C2)Submerging unwashed produce in cold water, ice made from potable water could be added to maintain the temperature
 - (D)Hold the produce under the running cold water for time sufficient for rehydration.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov); currently, only ten (10) committee members have read and acknowledged the statement via email.
- Review the listed crisping methods in the table. Please provide comments regarding the methods, provide any other crisping processes not listed, and email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) so that our table can be updated.
- Since the next call is scheduled on Monday, February 18, 2019 (President's Day), the **next conference call will occur on Monday, February 25, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in being a member of the subgroup for subcharge 1c (Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping).
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in aiding Jill Hollingsworth in drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
2/25/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Josh Jordan, Tom McMahan, Karl Matthews, Jennifer Nord, Hilary Thesmar, Kris Zetterlund, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Betsy Craig, Carol McInnes, Jaymin Patel
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon, Laurie Williams

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Al Baroudi (excused), Barbara Ingham (excused), Dianna Karlicek (excused), Todd Rossow (excused), Chris Peasley (unexcused), Anna Starobin (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed progress made in the Draft Guideline subcommittee working group. Other members of this group include Amanda Garvin, Jaime Hernandez, Erich Hess, Todd Rossow, and Anna Starobin. The subcommittee has compiled a washing and crisping methods chart that was discussed during the call.
 - Jill mentioned that food establishments may hold whole or fresh-cut produce in either cold or ice water for extended periods of time to maintain its crisp quality and asked if this practice is permissible per FDA Food Code. FDA consultant Laurie Williams mentioned that 3-302.12(c) of the Food Code states that raw produce, both whole and cut, may be immersed in ice water. She also stated that there are no specific time parameters for such practice delineated in the Food Code; this may be a topic to address in the guidance document.
 - Karl Matthews questioned the term “tepid water” that is used in the washing and crisping methods chart. Jill stated that tepid water is used with cold produce in order to minimize infiltration. Although acceptable for produce washing, this is ineffective for produce crisping as crisping requires the produce to uptake water. There was also a discussion regarding the wording in the term “tepid water.” Deanna Copeland mentioned that the temperature of water from the cold water tap will greatly differ nationwide. For example, during Texas summers, water from the cold water tap may be around 90°F, whereas in a northern state, water may be around 70°F. Due to this high variability in water temperatures from the cold tap, it was decided that “lukewarm” and “tepid” should both be used. There was another discussion regarding the crisping of cut produce, however, it was decided that the guidance

PWWC Conference Call Notes

2/25/2019

document that we are charged to complete should only relate to whole, uncut produce. Jaime mentioned that this statement should be noted in the introduction section of the guidance document.

- Deanna Copeland discussed that she edited the Retail Produce Product flowchart that was created months ago. She reorganized said flow chart so that it would be easier to follow. Jaime mentioned that this flowchart will be incorporated in our guidance document, to be used as a “decision tree” in conjunction with the washing and crisping methods chart.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the washing and crisping methods chart discussed during the call. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the flowchart Deanna Copeland edited and send an email with any comments to Deanna Copeland (Deanna.Copeland@phs.hctx.net), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur on Monday, March 25, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in being a member of the subgroup for subcharge 1c (Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping).
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in aiding Jill Hollingsworth in drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes

3/25/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Jason Dickhaut, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Karl Matthews, Hilary Thesmar, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Chip Manuel, B.J. Mikeska, Kathleen O'Donnell, Nela Romo, Matthew Walker
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon, Laurie Williams

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Deanna Copeland (absent), Amanda Garvin (excused), Barbara Ingham (absent), Josh Jordan (excused), Jennifer Nord (excused), Chris Peasley (absent) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna Starobin had a question directed toward CFP Board members Christine Applewhite and Keith Jackson regarding whether or not SOPs of produce handling, from receiving to storage prior to produce washing, falls within the scope of our committee charges. Christine stated that based on the way the charges are written, some of the precursory info at the beginning of the SOP is outside the scope of the charges, specifically statements on approved sources and supplier. Christine also stated that information, like employee health and hygiene, could be mentioned, if desired, but in a very brief format with relevant FDA Food Code references.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed progress made in the Draft Guideline subcommittee working group. Other members of this group include Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Amanda Garvin, Jaime Hernandez, Erich Hess, Todd Rossow, and Anna Starobin. The subcommittee has compiled a washing and crisping methods chart that was discussed during the call.
 - FDA consultant Laurie Williams will review the chart and send feedback to Anna.
 - Al Baroudi asked if using ozone for produce washing applies to the chart, specifically W3. Anna suggested adding "on-site generation" wording to the table, in order to be inclusive with other chemicals that may be generated on-site for washing. Jill requested Al to provide language for on-site generated chemical use to be added to the chart.
 - Kris Zetterlund had a concern regarding the "crisping of unwashed produce" that is stated in the crisping section of the chart. Jill remarked that washing and crisping produce is sometimes performed in one-step; for this reason, the "crisping of unwashed produce" is stated in the chart. Erich Hess noted that the

PWWC Conference Call Notes

3/25/2019

washing and crisping distinction depends on the end-use of the produce, meaning if the produce will be on display for sale versus produce being further processed for direct consumption. Jill responded that in the crisping section of the chart, it is noted that crisping unwashed produce will require frequent change of the crisping water, due to the accumulative organic load within said water. In order to address Kris' concern, Jill recommended to state the frequent water change for the considerations sections related to the crisping of unwashed produce and to potentially merge the unwashed with the prewashed sections.

- Hilary Thesmar had concerns regarding the lack of a distinction in the chart of whole produce versus cut produce and the lack of specific produce-type commodities in the chart. Anna stated that this committee's charges are only regarding whole, uncut produce. Jaime stated also that Hilary's concerns will be addressed in the introduction section of this guidance document.
- Kris Zetterlund volunteered to join the Draft Guideline subcommittee working group.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the washing and crisping methods chart discussed during the call. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur on Monday, April 22, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in aiding Jill Hollingsworth in drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
4/22/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Karl Matthews, Todd Rossow, Hilary Thesmar, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Betsy Craig, Chip Manuel, Kathleen O'Donnell, Matthew Reighter, Matthew Walker
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon, Laurie Williams

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXIcbslm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Carol Culbert (excused), Barbara Ingham (excused), Jennifer Nord (excused), Chris Peasley (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed progress made in the Draft Guideline subcommittee working group. Other members of this group include Rick Barney, Janet Buffer, Amanda Garvin, Jaime Hernandez, Erich Hess, Todd Rossow, Kris Zetterlund, and Anna Starobin. The subcommittee has compiled a washing and crisping methods chart that was discussed during the call.
 - On-site generated antimicrobials was the major topic of discussion related to the chart during this call. Jill mentioned the distinction between on-site generated antimicrobials versus systems that use EPA approved concentrated chemicals diluted with water; such methods are mutually exclusive. Anna mentioned that the risk reduction step of using on-site generated antimicrobials is the same as using other antimicrobials; the only difference is how the product is registered. Stating this, Anna questioned whether adding on-site generated antimicrobials as a separate method is redundant. Al Baroudi commented that on-site generated antimicrobials should be mentioned in the chart, however, it could be combined with other methods already listed. Jill was hesitant about combining such methods because other methods that use antimicrobials discussed in the table already emphasizes their specific intended use, which may be different than the specific intended use of on-site generated antimicrobials. Amanda Garvin noted that since on-site generated antimicrobials are often not encountered in the field in retail food establishments, this topic should not be too in-depth in the chart, as it may cause

PWWC Conference Call Notes

4/22/2019

confusion to its intended audience. Laurie Williams agreed that it may cause confusion, and that on-site generated antimicrobials should maybe be added as a footnote in the chart.

- Anna discussed progress made in the chemicals document related to sub charge 1c. She mentioned that based on the feedback from regulators in the subcommittee, this document needs to be simplified so that it can be easily understood by its intended audience. Erich Hess agreed that the intended audience has to be kept in mind when creating this document and that the current document does not meet the sub charge. Specifically, this document should specifically address what are the chemical options and how to use them. Hilary Thesmar, noted that Food retail employees developing such programs are highly educated and well versed in regulations related to the chemical use and their choices. Therefore, comprehensive information needs to be kept in this document. Anna noted that two versions for different audiences could be created.
- FDA Consultant Laurie Williams provided an update related to the FDA reviewing the washing and crisping chart. She mentioned that CFSAN Produce Safety has two branches- one branch has already reviewed the document and has recommended that the other branch review the document as well. With that said, she wants to send back he comments to the committee once both branches have reviewed the chart and that she is expecting to send the comments in the next two weeks.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the washing and crisping methods chart discussed during the call. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the chemicals document discussed during the call. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur the week of May 20, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST (exact day still pending)**, since the regular call was scheduled for May 29 (Memorial Day).
- Email both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) if interested in aiding Jill Hollingsworth in drafting the format for the Produce Washing and Crisping guidance document.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
5/20/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Jennifer Nord, Todd Rossow, Chris Peasley, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin
- At-Large Members:
B.J. Mikeska, Matthew Reighter, Nela Romo, Matthew Walker
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon, Laurie Williams
- CFP:
Keith Jackson

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Deanna Copeland (absent), Barbara Ingham (excused), Karl Matthews (absent), Hilary Thesmar (excused), Jaime Hernandez (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed the draft of the guidance document compiled by the subcommittee. During her discussion, she provided details to each section within said document.
- Amanda Garvin provided her feedback of the document. Her main feedback point was related to the generated on site (GOS) antimicrobial chemicals. As a Regulator, she noted that GOS are rarely used and it might be unnecessary to include this option into the table. She suggested to remove W6 and C5. Since this is an uncommon practice, she suggested to mention on-site generated antimicrobial treatments in the preface of the charts. Voting members of this committee will vote on this issue during the next call. Dr. Baroudi, who uses GOS, commented that keeping this method in the document would inform readers who are not familiar with this technology.
- Anna explained the differences between Food Contact (FC) sanitizers vs. produce washing antimicrobial treatments. She reiterated that both have different uses, different test methods and microorganisms to show antimicrobial efficacy. FC sanitizers have to achieve a 5-log reduction in 1 minute, while registered antimicrobial treatments are tested against the pathogens most commonly implicated in produce related outbreaks (*E. coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, *Listeria monocytogenes*) and need to provide a 3-log reduction in wash water. She also restated that this guidance document only pertains to RACs, not for fresh-cut or further processed produce. Tom

PWWC Conference Call Notes

5/20/2019

McMahan stated that a clarifying statement noting that the guidance document is not intended for fresh-cut produce should be added, since fresh-cut produce is defined in the document and suggested to remove any references relevant to fresh-cut produce.

- Anna mentioned that she has submitted the chemical charts to the FDA for review. Laurie Williams will follow-up on this during the next conference call.
- Keith Jackson reminded the committee that all committee work needs to be approximately 75 – 95% complete by August.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the draft guidance document. Please provide edits/ comments **by June 7th** and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcop.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur Monday, June 24, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
6/24/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Jennifer Nord, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Chip Manuel, Carol McInnes, Matthew Reighter, Nela Romo, Chuck Seaman, Matthew Walker
- FDA Consultants:
Kenya Moon
- CFP:
Christine Applewhite, Keith Jackson

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

PWWC GoogleDrive link: (no need for Google Account or Google login)

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/158-NUmDMYs3eZ_5WXlcbSlm8qLR3TbpP?usp=sharing

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Josh Jordan (excused), Karl Matthews (excused), Todd Rossow (excused), Hilary Thesmar (excused), Chris Peasley (absent), Kris Zetterlund (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed the draft of the guidance document compiled by the subcommittee. This draft contains comments made by FDA and responses to those comments. During her discussion, she provided details regarding changes/updates to each section within said document. Changes to the document include adding a section on sanitizers versus disinfectants, revising the definitions, and separating the washing and crisping tables into two separate sections.
 - Barbara Ingham suggests being consistent with language used in the document (ex. washing and rinsing)
- Anna discussed changes that were made to the chemicals diagram/chart. Changes include consolidating the chemical diagrams for produce wash treatments and produce was treatments with antimicrobial claims into one diagram.
- Anna shared the feedback provided by FDA, in which they stated that FDA does not support crisping or washing produce by soaking in water. Advice on further committee steps was sent to CFP.
- Anna mentioned that both she and Jaime created a draft of the Fall Committee Progress Report and sent the draft to both Keith Jackson and Christine Applewhite for review. Keith mentioned that he will provide comments by the end of the week.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
6/24/2019

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the draft guidance document and chemicals diagram/chart. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur Monday, July 29, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.** Initially, the next conference call was scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2019, however, several committee members will be attending the IAFP Conference that week.



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
7/29/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Dianna Karlicek, Jennifer Nord, Todd Rossow, Hilary Thesmar, Chris Peasley, Kris Zetterlund, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Rick Barney, Chip Manuel, Carol McInnes, Nela Romo

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Deanna Copeland (absent), Josh Jordan (absent), Tom McMahan (absent), Karl Matthews (absent) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna discussed submitting the Fall Committee Report to the CFP Board. The report was submitted and within this report, a request was made for the CFP Board to advise the Produce Wash Water Committee group on the best manner of pursuing with the guidance document to ensure that it is both meaningful and that it aligns with our committee charges.
- Anna also mentioned that both Jill and she had an opportunity to meet with the Director of CFP, Dave McSwane, and CFP Board member Brenda Bacon during the IAFP meeting held last week. They discussed the challenges related to the guidance document. A conference call with CFP Board members, Anna, Jaime, and FDA consultants will be held on Wednesday, July 31, 2019, in order to follow-up on what was discussed during IAFP. The CFP Board members also invited Anna to attend the CFP Board meeting on August 13; Anna will be representing the work that this committee has done thus far during the Board Meeting.
- Jill Hollingsworth discussed the draft of the guidance document compiled by the subcommittee. Specifically, she reviewed comments made by FDA and responses to those comments. Several of the comments were provided by FDA representatives that deal with processed produce.
- Laurie Williams reviewed the 5 Steps for Retail Policy Debate. They are as follows... (1) Clearly define the problem that needs to be addressed, (2) Clearly describe the cause of the problem (3) Clearly describe why the status quo is not addressing the problem (4) Clearly present your recommended policy solution and explain why it should be preferred over possible alternatives (5) Clearly state the potential consequences of implementing the recommended policy solution. She mentioned that the 5 Steps will be made available shortly on the FDA website and will discuss with the group at a later conference call.
- Jill asked the group if they had any suggestions related to formatting of the guidance document. She mentioned that CFP has no preference, as long as consistency is being maintained throughout the document.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
7/29/2019

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the draft guidance document. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur Monday, August 26, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
8/26/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Josh Jordan, Dianna Karlicek, Tom McMahan, Jennifer Nord, Todd Rossow, Hilary Thesmar, Chris Peasley, Anna Starobin
- At-Large Members:
Carol McInnes, Nela Romo

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Barbara Ingham (absent), Karl Matthews (absent), Kris Zetterlund (excused), Jaime Hernandez (excused) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Anna attended the CFP Board meeting in August. She attended the meeting so that the Board could provide clarification for the next steps of this committee, based on FDA's position on crisping.
- Keith Jackson provided updates from the CFP Board. He mentioned that the Board accepted the PWWC Fall Report as submitted. The CFP Board understands the situation of the PWWC Committee in relation to fulfilling the crisping-related charges and FDA's position on crisping. Due to this situation, all mentions of crisping within the guidance document have been "compartmentalized" so that the committee can easily edit the document if the Council decides to edit or redact crisping during deliberation.
- Christine Applewhite mentioned that a CFP Board member stated that the PWWC guidance document is in response to the charges to CFP- in other words, the committee is ultimately responding to CFP; as long as the charges are fulfilled, CFP will have no conflicts with the document, with the understanding that FDA does not condone crisping. Amanda Garvin added that in the past, CFP has accepted documents and placed them on the CFP website that were not accepted by the FDA.
- Glenda Lewis (FDA) re-iterated that FDA supports the CFP process, however, still does not support the practice of crisping. She recommends to continue with creating the guidance document; Anna agrees.
- Anna reviewed the chemical diagram and table. There are currently two versions- one version is the initial document and the other is one that addresses comments provided by the FDA.
- Jill Hollingsworth reviewed on the progress made in the guidance document. Progress includes editing the disclaimer, citing references, clarifying definitions, "compartmentalizing" crisping within the document for easy extraction, if necessary.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
8/26/2019

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the draft guidance document. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- **The next conference call will occur Monday, September 23, 2019, from 3:00 PM – 4:00 PM EST.**



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.

PWWC Conference Call Notes
10/3/2019

Attendees:

- Voting Members:
Al Baroudi, Carol Culbert, Deanna Copeland, Jason Dickhaut, Amanda Garvin, Erich Hess, Jill Hollingsworth, Barbara Ingham, Josh Jordan, Jennifer Nord, Todd Rossow, Hilary Thesmar, Chris Peasley, Anna Starobin, Jaime Hernandez
- At-Large Members:
Nela Romo, Matthew Walker

Committee Charges:

1. Develop a Produce Washing and Crisping Guidance document for Retail Food Establishments which includes the following:
 - a. Detail the handling, cleaning, and sanitation practices related to washing and crisping of produce.
 - b. Describe the criteria for produce crisping vs. produce washing.
 - c. Clarify the types of chemicals and their use for washing and crisping.
2. Report findings and recommendations back to the 2020 Conference for Food Protection Biennial Meeting.

Notes:

- All conference calls are recorded. To request a recording of the conference call, please email either Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) or Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov) and either will send you the recording.
- Roll Call: Diana Karlicek (excused), Tom McMahan (absent), Karl Matthews (absent), Kris Zetterlund (absent) were voting members that were absent from the call.
- Keith Jackson discussed deadlines for submitting our committee work and issues. Specifically, he mentioned that everything has to be complete and submitted with committee consensus to the CFP Council by **November 1, 2019**. Anna and Jaime will complete a Committee Report for this submission. Keith and Christine Applewhite will then review said report and supporting documents, to be submitted to the CFP Board by **December 1, 2019**. Keith also recommended to begin writing issues to be submitted. The issue submission process will begin in **December 2019**; CFP will send out an announcement regarding this shortly. Keith also mentioned that the Committee will have to submit an issue for the Council to acknowledge the report.
- Anna mentioned that Laurie Williams has contacted her, stating that FDA will review the latest version of the guidance document; comments made by the FDA will be sent next week.
- Jill Hollingsworth mentioned that the comments received regarding the guidance document were suggested word changes and clarifications. She also mentioned that the guidance document was reorganized to have a better flow. For example, the definitions section was placed after the introduction. Also, the "Sanitizers vs. Disinfectants" section was restructured to provide better clarification. Additionally, the document was reorganized to have "washing" and "crisping" as separate sections. Also, citations were verified and inserted into the document using the American Medical Association (AMA) method.
- Anna summarized our current status/plans based on FDA objection to crisping and washing by submersion. Committee will submit the document as-is (with crisping and washing by submersion), in order to address the committee charges. Laurie Williams confirmed this understanding between the CFP committee and FDA.
- Amanda Garvin suggested an issue to submit to CFP. The issue to be submitted relates to requirements for testing the concentration of EPA-registered produce wash chemicals in water. Currently, there are no such parameters delineated in the 2017 FDA Food Code. Anna suggested to clarify that this issue only applies for EPA-

PWWC Conference Call Notes

10/3/2019

registered chemicals. Jill suggested we do not include additional burdens on retailers and not be prescriptive about the frequency of monitoring or record keeping.

Action Items:

- For those of you that have not done so, please read the Antitrust Statement (a copy of it is below) and acknowledge that you have read and understood the statement through sending an email to both Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).
- Review the draft guidance document. Please provide edits/ comments and email to Jill Hollingsworth (jillh@chemstarcorp.com), Anna (Anna.Starobin@ecolab.com) and Jaime (Jaime.Hernandez@dc.gov).



Conference for Food Protection Antitrust Statement

This Antitrust Statement is to inform the Conference for Food Protection (CFP) Executive Board members and the general membership of CFP that whenever competitors within an industry gather together, appropriate care must be taken to ensure that violations of antitrust laws do not take place.

CFP functions, be they conferences, board or committee meetings, by their very nature, bring competitors together. To avoid antitrust allegations it is necessary to avoid discussions of sensitive topics. Agreements to engage in product boycotts, restrictive market allocations, refusal to deal with third parties and price-restraining activities are automatically illegal under antitrust laws.

An antitrust violation does not require proof of a formal agreement. There need not be written or verbal agreement to collude. Also, conversations regarding any of these sensitive areas may be construed as implicit violations. As a result, those attending CFP-sponsored functions should remember the importance of avoiding not only unlawful activities but even the appearance of unlawful activity.

The antitrust laws – the Sherman Act, Clayton Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act – are intended to ensure free and open competition. Violations of these laws can have serious consequences for CFP and its members. Violations are felonies that can result in severe penalties and significant litigation expenses for CFP and its members. Even if a government or private suit is successfully defended, the cost and disruption of the litigation can be overwhelming. Taking antitrust precautions, therefore, is not only advisable but imperative.

For your protection, the Conference for Food Protection recommends that, should one of these subjects be brought up, it would be in your best interest to voice your objection and disassociate yourself from the discussion if it continues.