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**Title:**

Re-create - Demonstration of Knowledge (DoK) Committee

**Recommended Solution: The Conference recommends...:**

the Demonstration of Knowledge (DoK) Committee be re-created following the 2016 CFP Biennial Meeting to continue work originally assigned in Issue 2014-II-016 with the following charges:

1. Identify and evaluate the pros and cons of Alternative Methods to Demonstrating Knowledge, a document created by the 2014-2016 DoK Committee (Attachment VI to the DoK Committee Report). Although not limited to the following areas, the committee will assess the pros and cons of each alternative method in light of the following areas:
a) Differentiation between knowledge and application
b) Emphasis on risk factors
c) Ease of uniform assessment by regulators and industry
d) Enabling the Person in Charge to demonstrate knowledge even when there is a language barrier
e) What corrective action should be taken when there is not a demonstration of knowledge from the Person in Charge
2. Recommend alternative methods of demonstrating knowledge as new or amended Food Code language.
3. Report back committee outcomes and recommendations to the 2018 CFP Biennial Meeting.

It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.